Mumford curves covering p-adic Shimura curves and their fundamental domains

Laia Amorós, Piermarco Milione

arXiv:1608.04891v1 [math.NT] 17 Aug 2016

Abstract

We give an explicit description of fundamental domains associated to the p-adic uniformisation of families of Shimura curves of discriminant Dp and level $N \geq 1$, for which the one-sided ideal class number $h(D, N)$ is 1. The obtained results generalise those in [19, Ch. IX] for Shimura curves of discriminant $2p$ and level $N = 1$. The method we present here enables us to find Mumford curves covering Shimura curves, together with a free system of generators for the associated Schottky groups, p-adic good fundamental domains and their stable reduction-graphs. This is based on a detailed study of the modular arithmetic of an Eichler order of level N inside the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D, for which we generalise classical results of Hurwitz [20]. As an application, we prove general formulas for the reduction-graphs with lengths at p of the considered families of Shimura curves.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 11G18, 11R52, 14G35, 14G22.

Keywords Shimura curves, Mumford curves, p-adic fundamental domains.

Introduction

Shimura curves are a wide and powerful generalisation of modular curves, as is testified by the many applications given in solving important problems in number theory during the last few decades. The complex uniformisation of Shimura curves, in comparison to that of modular curves, is more difficult to approach computationally (think for example about the lack of cusps, which makes more complicated the computation of Fourier expansions of their uniformising functions). Nevertheless, there exist several works which make definitively amenable computations for such complex uniformisation: cf. for example [2], [37], [7], [8], [27] and [38]. In addition to the complex uniformisation, Shimura curves admit also non-archimedean uniformisations. This is expressed, in different ways, by fundamental theorems of Čerednik (cf. [9]) and Drinfel'd (cf. [11]). The non-archimedean uniformisation describes a p-adic integral model of Shimura curves associated to indefinite quaternion algebras of discriminant Dp, together with its bad special fibre, thanks to the unified language offered by rigid analytic geometry.

There are several works that take a computational approach to the study of the p-adic uniformisation of Shimura curves and their bad reduction fibres. In particular in [15] the problem of computing the reduction-graph with lengths of a Shimura curve associated to an arbitrary Eichler order is successfully solved by an algorithm which is now being implemented in Sage. Other works approaching an effective study of the p-adic uniformisation of Shimura curves through the computation of their special points are, for example, [10], [17] and [18].

In the present paper we wish to present some results about these p-adic uniformisations which are, at the same time, of a certain generality, since they hold for some infinite families of

Shimura curves, and explicit, because they are approachable from the computational point of view. To be more specific, one of the advantages we want to point out in the p-adic approach to uniformisation of Shimura curves of discriminant Dp is that the "trick" of *interchanging the local invariants* p and ∞ of the indefinite quaternion algebra leads to the study of the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D, which does not depend on p (at least at first glance). This gives a natural classification of these curves in countable families depending on some arithmetical invariant of the definite quaternion Eichler order of level N, namely its one-sided ideal class number $h(D, N)$.

In order to do this, we bring to light two, more or less classical, works: one of Hurwitz [20] and one of Gerritzen and van der Put [19]. In [19, Ch. IX] the authors study Mumford curves covering Shimura curves of discriminant $2p$ and level $N = 1$. In [20], Hurwitz studies the arithmetic of a maximal order inside the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant 2. The combination and generalisation of these two works led us to the study of the p-adic uniformisation of families of Shimura curves $X(Dp, N)$ such that $h(D, N)$ is equal to 1, through the study of certain Mumford curves covering them. The fundamental results of this paper (Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.11) can be summarised in the following statement.

Theorem. *Let $X(Dp, N)$ be the Shimura curve associated to an Eichler order of level N inside the indefinite quaternion algebra of discriminant Dp, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be an Eichler order of level N inside the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D. Let us assume that*

(*i*) $h(D, N) = 1$.

(*ii*) *There exists $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$ and the map*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times, \quad \varphi(u) = \lambda_r(u + \mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O})$$

is a bijection, where $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ denotes the image of $(\mathcal{O}/\mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O})^\times$ under the natural projection $\lambda_r : \mathcal{O}/\mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$.

(*iii*) *The prime p satisfies*

$$t(p) := \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha - 1 \in \xi\mathcal{O},\ \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = 0\} = 0.$$

(*iv*) *If $D = 5$ or $D = 13$, Conjecture 3.7 holds.*

Then there exists a Mumford curve C covering the p-adic Shimura curve $X(Dp, N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that:

(*a*) *the curve C has genus $(p + 1)/2$,*

(*b*) *the degree of the cover is $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$,*

(*c*) *a finite set of generators for the Schottky group uniformising the Mumford curve C is given by the image, inside $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, of the set of matrices*

$$\widetilde{S} := \Phi_p(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha - 1 \in \xi\mathcal{O}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where Φ_p denotes a matrix immersion of the definite quaternion algebra inside $\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

In Table 1 we exhibit a quaternion $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying condition (*ii*), for all definite quaternion algebras with $h(D, N) = 1$, except for the cases $(D, N) = (2, 5)$ and $(7, 1)$.

It is interesting to remark that, for the cases $(D, N) \in \{(5, 1), (5, 2), (13, 1)\}$, point (*a*) of the Theorem is true only under a conjecture we formulate (cf. Conjecture 3.7) and which predicts the number of representations of the prime p by a quaternary quadratic form with some congruence conditions on the coefficients. The conjecture is the following.

Conjecture. *For $(D,N) \in \{(5,1),(5,2),(13,1)\}$, let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be a quaternion that satisfies condition (ii) of the previous Theorem and p a prime satisfying condition (iii). Then the finite set*

$$\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha - 1 \in \xi\mathcal{O}\}$$

has cardinality $2(p+1)$.

This conjecture naturally arises in the study of the arithmetic in a definite quaternion algebra with $h(D,N) = 1$, and is a theorem for $(D,N) \in \{(2,1),(2,3),(2,9),(2,11),(3,1),(3,2),(3,4)\}$, as is shown in Proposition 3.6.

The structure of this article is the following. In Section 1 we introduce the p-adic upper half-plane and the Bruhat-Tits tree together with the reduction map identifying it with the reduction of this rigid analytic variety. Moreover we recall the theory of Mumford curves and we relate this to that of the p-adic uniformisation of Shimura curves. In Section 2 we introduce and solve the problem of factorisation in definite quaternion orders with one-sided ideal class number equal to 1. In Section 3 we apply the arithmetic results obtained in Section 2 in order to prove the main results of the paper, also explaining how to find Mumford curves of genus $(p+1)/2$ covering our Shimura curves. In Section 4 we show how our detailed knowledge of the p-adic Shimura curve as a rigid analytic variety allows us to easily obtain formulas describing the reduction-graphs with lengths of these Shimura curves as well as genus formulas for them and for certain Atkin-Lehner quotients. Finally in Section 5 we see how one can use the main result to compute, in a concrete example, free systems of generators for a Schottky group as in the theorem above, a p-adic good fundamental domain for the action of the Schottky group in $\mathcal{H}_p$ and its stable reduction-graph. This is done thanks to an algorithm developed in the computer algebra system Magma [4], which we describe in the Appendix.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Pilar Bayer and Gabor Wiese for the valuable guidance and crucial support given before and along the preparation of this paper, and for carefully reading this manuscript. The authors also appreciate several helpful and enlightening conversations with Marc Masdeu and Xavier Xarles.

Notation

Along the whole paper, p will denote a fixed odd prime integer and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ a fixed algebraic closure of the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Once these data are fixed, $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ will denote the unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ its ring of integers, $\mathbb{Q}_p^{nr} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^{nr}$ its ring of integers.

We take the usual convention for the p-adic absolute value to be defined as $|z| := 1/p^{v_p(z)}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, where v_p denotes the p-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Finally $\mathbb{C}_p$ will denote the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with respect to the unique absolute value extending the p-adic absolute value.

1 p-adic uniformisation of Shimura curves

1.1 The p-adic upper half-plane

Let us start denoting by $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig} := \mathbb{P}^{1,rig}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the rigid analytic projective line over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This is the rigidification of the algebraic projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, as defined in [5, 9.3.4], so that its set of L-points is $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}(L) = \mathbb{P}^1(L)$, for every extension $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$.

For $a = [a_0 : a_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by

$$\mathbb{B}^+(a, |p|^r) := \{[z_0 : z_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) : \ |z_0 a_1 - z_1 a_0| \leq |p|^r\} = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) : \ v(z_0 a_1 - z_1 a_0) \geq r\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}^-(a, |p|^r) := \{[z_0 : z_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) : \ |z_0 a_1 - z_1 a_0| < |p|^r\} = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) : \ v(z_0 a_1 - z_1 a_0) > r\},$$

the closed ball and open ball of $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}$, respectively, with centre a and radius $|p|^r$. Obviously, the adjectives "closed" and "open" do not have any topological meaning, since in the topological space $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with its natural p-adic topology, all the balls are open and closed subsets. Alternatively, we can define the (open or closed) ball of $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}$ with centre $[a_0 : a_1]$ and radius $|p|^r$ as one of the following subsets:

(i) if $[a_0 : a_1] = [a : 1] \simeq a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, then $\{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : \ |z - a| \leq |p|^r\} = \mathbb{B}^+(a, |p|^r)$,

(ii) if $[a_0, a_1] = [1 : 0] =: \infty$, then $\{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : \ |z| \geq |p|^{-r}\} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{B}^+(\infty, |p|^r)$.

It can be proved that a complement of open balls in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is an admissible open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}$, and that every admissible open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}$ is obtained as a union of such admissible open subsets (cf. [5, 9.7.2/2]).

Definition 1.1. Let us consider a functor from the category of field extensions $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$, to the category of sets defined as follows: for every extension $L|\mathbb{Q}_p$ consider the subset of points in $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}(L)$

$$\mathcal{H}_p(L) := \mathbb{P}^1(L) \smallsetminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

The set $\mathcal{H}_p(L)$ is not only a subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(L)$ but it is actually the set of L-points of a rigid analytic variety $\mathcal{H}_p$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ called the *p-adic upper half-plane* over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. One way to see this fact is by defining an open admissible cover of $\mathcal{H}_p(L)$.

Definition 1.2. For every integer $i \geq 0$ we define the following subsets of points of $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}(L)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}(L) := \mathbb{P}^1(L) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathbb{B}^-(a, |p|^i).$$

For every point $a = [a_0 : a_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, one can choose *unimodular coordinates*, i.e., coordinates $(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, so it makes sense to consider the reduction mod p^i of the point $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The following easy lemma allows us to simplify (read to discretise) the description of the subsets in Definition 1.2.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $i > 0$ be an integer and take $a, a' \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then*

(a) $\mathbb{B}^+(a, |p|^i) \cap \mathbb{B}^+(a', |p|^i) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a \equiv a' \pmod{p^i}$.

(b) $\mathbb{B}^-(a, |p|^i) \cap \mathbb{B}^-(a', |p|^i) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a \equiv a' \pmod{p^{i+1}}$. □

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}_i$ a system of representatives for the points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ mod p^i, i.e., $\mathcal{P}_i$ is a system of representatives for the points $\mathbb{P}^1\left(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p\right)$ of the projective line. Therefore a point $a \in \mathcal{P}_i$ has unimodular coordinates (a_0, a_1) such that their reductions are $(\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p$ not both $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Finally there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{P}_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p \sqcup p\mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

In particular $\mathcal{P}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p \cup \{\infty\}$. The cardinality of the set $\mathcal{P}_i$ is $p^i + p^{i-1} = p^{i-1}(p+1)$, which is very enlightening, as we will appreciate later. Therefore, by that basic property of non-archimedean balls to be either disjoint or one contained into the other, and applying Lemma 1.3, we find that, for every integer $i > 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}(L) = \mathbb{P}^1(L) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{P}_i} \mathbb{B}^-(a, |p|^{i-1}).$$

As a consequence we obtain that the sets $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}$ are admissible affinoid subdomains of the rigid analytic projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}$. If one proves that the cover $\{\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}\}_{i>0}$ is admissible, then $\mathcal{H}_p$ is proved to be a rigid analytic variety over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (following definitions of [5, Ch. 9.3]). A proof of this last assertion can be found in [34].

1.2 The Bruhat-Tits tree associated to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

A lattice $M \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank 2. Two lattices $M, M' \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ are said to be homothetic if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ such that $M' = \lambda M$. We will denote by $\{M\}$ the homothety class of M. For every two homothety classes $\{M\}, \{M'\}$ we can always choose their representatives such that $p^n M \subseteq M' \subseteq M$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [31, 1.1]). For example, if $M = \langle u, v\rangle$, then we can take $M' = \langle u, p^n v\rangle$. We say that two homothety classes $\{M\}, \{M'\}$ are *adjacent* if their representatives can be chosen so that $pM \subsetneq M' \subsetneq M$.

Definition 1.4. We define the graph $\mathcal{T}_p$ whose set of vertices $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ consists of the homothety classes of lattices of $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$ and whose set of oriented edges $\mathrm{Ed}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ is the set of pairs of adjacent classes. One can also consider the set of unoriented edges, which is formed by unordered pairs of adjacent classes. The graph $\mathcal{T}_p$ is a $(p+1)$-regular tree (cf. [31, Ch. II]) which is known in the literature as the *Bruhat-Tits tree* associated to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

The group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts transitively on the set of vertices $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p)$: if $M = \langle u, v\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^2$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ then $\gamma \cdot M := \langle \gamma u, \gamma v\rangle$, and the induced action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on the classes of lattices is then clearly well-defined and transitive.

Notation 1.5. If $e = (v, v') \in \mathrm{Ed}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ is an oriented edge then we denote by $-e := (v', v)$ the inverse edge and we will sometimes denote by $\{e, -e\}$ the corresponding unoriented edge (according to [22, Definition 3-1]).

The tree $\mathcal{T}_p$, that we have defined as a combinatorial object, can be also realised as a topological space by the interpretation of its vertexes as classes of norms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (cf. [3, Introduction, Sec. 1]). With this topology, the map $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longmapsto \gamma \cdot v \in \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p)$ induces an homeomorphism

$$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathcal{T}_p,$$

where $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is taken with its natural topology. Therefore, we can represent each vertex by a class of matrices. Namely, if $v = \{M\}$ then v is represented by the class $\{\alpha_M\} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that α_M is the matrix whose columns form a basis of M.

Remark 1.6. As usual, this homeomorphism is an immediate consequence (cf. [33, 1.2]) of the fact that the action is transitive and the stabilizer of the vertex $v^0 := \{\langle (1,0), (0,1)\rangle\}$ inside $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The analogous archimedean statement is the homeomorphism $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Poincaré upper-half plane.

Remark 1.7. Note that matrices lying in the same class do not always have the same determinant. Their determinants, though, have the same parity in the p-adic valuation. So we can say that a vertex $v = \{\alpha\} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is *even* if $v_p(\det \alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and that it is *odd* otherwise. Note also that a transformation $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ sends a vertex v to another one with the same parity if and only if $v_p(\det \gamma)$ is even.

From now on we will denote by v^0 the vertex of $\mathcal{T}_p$ whose representative is the lattice $M^0 := \langle (1,0), (0,1)\rangle$. Once we have a distinguished vertex, we can describe the Bruhat-Tits tree $\mathcal{T}_p$ as follows.

(a) We begin by describing the set of vertices of $\mathcal{T}_p$ adjacent to v^0. For every $i \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$, let $v_i^{(1)}$ denote the vertex represented by the matrix $\alpha_i^{(1)}$ where

$$\alpha_i^{(1)} := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} p & i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i \neq \infty, \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is an easy computation to show that this defines $p+1$ different vertices $v_0^{(1)}, \ldots, v_{p-1}^{(1)}, v_\infty^{(1)}$ which are adjacent to v^0.

(b) For every new vertex $v_i^{(1)}$, we define the new p adjacent vertices as the vertices $v_j^{(2)}$ represented by the matrices

$$\alpha_{ij}^{(2)} := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} p^2 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i \neq \infty,\ \ 0 \leq j \leq p^2-1,\ \ j \equiv i \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p), \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ j & p^2 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i = \infty,\ \ 0 \leq j \leq p^2-1,\ \ j \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p). \end{cases}$$

(c) Finally we denote by $e_i^{(1)}$ the oriented edge $(v^0, v_i^{(1)})$, by $e_{ij}^{(2)}$ the oriented edge $(v_i^{(1)}, v_{ij}^{(2)})$, etc.

The vertex v^0 corresponds to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathbb{P}(M^0)$ associated to the lattice $M^0 = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ in [26, Sec. 2] and the $p+1$ adjacent vertices correspond to projective lines obtained by blowing up the $p+1$ $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ inside $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

With this description of the tree in terms of matrices and taking the system of representatives defined above, we can define the following ascending chain of subtrees of $\mathcal{T}_p$. For every integer $i \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}$ the subtree of $\mathcal{T}_p$ whose set of vertices is $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}) := \{v = \{\alpha\} \mid v_p(\det \alpha) \leq i\}$. Then,

$$\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(0)}) = \{v^0\},\ \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(i+1)}) \text{ for every } i \geq 0, \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_p = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}.$$

Remark 1.8 (Intuitive)**.** If we look back at the admissible cover defined for the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}_p$, then we observe that the set of representatives $\mathcal{P}_i$ for the points of the projective line $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^i\mathbb{Z}_p)$ corresponds bijectively with the set of "added vertices" of the subtree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}$, i.e. there is a bijection of sets

$$\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(i)}) \smallsetminus \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_p^{(i-1)}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_i,$$

for every $i \geq 1$. The intuition then would suggest that removing open balls in $\mathbb{P}^{1,rig}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ with center in $\mathcal{P}_i$ corresponds through this bijection to adding to the subtree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i-1)}$ the missing vertices of the subtree $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$. This intuition finds its theoretical explanation in the following theorem (cf. [3] and [12] for a proof).

Theorem 1.9. *For every extension $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$, there is a map*

$$\mathrm{Red} : \mathcal{H}_p(L) \to \mathcal{T}_p$$

satisfying the following properties.

(a) It is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Red}(\gamma \cdot z) = \gamma \cdot \mathrm{Red}(z)$ for every $z \in \mathcal{H}_p(L)$ and every $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

(b) The image $\mathrm{Red}(\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)})$ *is the rational geometric realisation of the tree* $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i-1)}$*, for every* $i \geq 0$. □

We write this map as $\mathrm{Red} : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{T}_p$ and call it the *reduction map* associated to $\mathcal{H}_p$. This reduction map just defined owes its name to the fact that it is intimately related with the usual reduction modulo the prime p. Actually, the Tate algebra of series of the affinoid subdomains $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}$ can be reduced modulo p through the reduction mod p of restricted series, and this gives an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$. It is then an exercise to see that the dual graph of the reduction mod p of $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}$ (i.e. the graph whose vertices are the irreducible components of the algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and such two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding irreducible components meet) is the tree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i-1)}$. This is done in [3, 2.3] where in fact the affinoid subdomain $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}$ is defined by $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)} := \mathrm{Red}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_{p,\mathbb{Q}}^{(i-1)})$.

Note here that the reduction mod p of $\mathcal{H}_p^{(i)}$ is a finite algebraic variety and the corresponding tree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(i-1)}$ is a finite tree, which makes perfect sense. Taking direct limit, we obtain that the dual graph of the reduction mod p of the rigid analytic variety $\mathcal{H}_p$ is the infinite tree $\mathcal{T}_p$. Finally we can claim concisely that "the reduction map Red is the dual of the reduction mod p associated to the rigid analytic space $\mathcal{H}_p$".

1.3 Čerednik-Drinfel'd Theorem

Let B be an indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant Dp, and let $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_B(N) \subseteq B$ be an Eichler order of level N. Once we have fixed a real matrix immersion $\Phi_\infty : B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we consider the following discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})_{>0} \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\Gamma_{\infty,+} := \Phi_\infty(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_B^\times \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) > 0\})/\mathbb{Z}^\times.$$

By a fundamental result of Shimura [32, Main Theorem I], there exists a proper algebraic curve $X(Dp, N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that its complex points are parametrised by a holomorphic bijective map

$$J : \Gamma_{\infty,+}\backslash\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} X(Dp, N)(\mathbb{C}),$$

and which is characterised, up to isomorphisms over $\mathbb{Q}$, by the arithmetic property that the values of J at certain special parameters $\tau \in \Gamma_{\infty,+}\backslash\mathcal{H}$ are algebraic points $J(\tau) \in X(Dp, N)(\mathbb{Q}^{ab})$ defined over some ray class field of $\mathbb{Q}$. These special parameters are sometimes referred to as CM parameters and the corresponding algebraic points are called CM points. The curve $X(Dp, N)$ satisfying this property is called *the Shimura curve* of discriminant Dp and level N.

Since $X(Dp, N)$ is a scheme of locally finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$ we can consider, on one hand, its complex analytification $(X(Dp, N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^{an}$ (given by Serre's GAGA functor), which is a complex manifold, and on the other hand its p-adic rigidification $(X(Dp, N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{rig}$ which is a rigid analytic variety over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (cf. [6]). While the complex analytification is uniformised by the discrete cocompact subgroup $\Gamma_{\infty,+} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$, as we have recalled above, the p-adic rigidification also admits a uniformisation by a discrete cocompact subgroup $\Gamma_p \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_p)$, which is known as the p-adic uniformisation of the Shimura curve $X(Dp, N)$ (or as the Čerednik-Drinfel'd uniformisation).

The group Γ_p is defined, following Čerednik [9, Theorem 2.1], by *interchanging the local invariants* p and ∞ in the quaternion algebra B. More concretely, let H be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D, let $\mathcal{O}_H = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N, and consider the localised order $\mathcal{O}_H[1/p] := \mathcal{O}_H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. Once we have fixed a p-adic matrix immersion $\Phi_p : H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, let us consider the following discrete cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$:

$$\Gamma_p := \Phi_p(\mathcal{O}_H[1/p]^\times)/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times.$$

Then the Čerednik-Drinfel'd Theorem can be stated in the following way.

Theorem 1.10. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma_p\backslash(\mathcal{H}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \simeq (X(Dp,N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{rig}$$

of rigid analytic varieties over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Remark 1.11. Note that even if the $\mathbb{Z}$-order $\mathcal{O}_H$ is not always unique up to conjugation, the $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$-order $\mathcal{O}_H[1/p]$ is, since this one satisfies Eichler's condition (cf. [36, Corollaire 5.7]). Therefore the conjugacy class of the group Γ_p inside $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is well determined and gives rise to a rigid analytic variety $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$, which is well-defined up to rigid analytic isomorphisms. The same arguments as in the complex case show that the isomorphism class over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the curve $X(Dp,N)$ does not depend on the Eichler order chosen in the conjugacy class of $\mathcal{O}_B(N)$.

Now recall that since the curve $X(Dp,N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is proper, by a theorem of Raynaud its rigidification can be interpreted as the generic fibre of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-formal scheme, which is obtained as the completion of an adequate integral model $\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of $X(Dp,N)$ along its special fibre. We call *Drinfel'd integral model* over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of the Shimura curve $X(Dp,N)$, the integral model $\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose completion along its special fibre is the following formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{Z}_p$:

$$\Gamma_p\backslash(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_p \otimes_{\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)}.$$

Drinfel'd constructed this integral model in [11] as a solution of a moduli problem over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, extending the modular interpretation of $X(Dp,N)(\mathbb{C})$. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.10, algebraising the rigid analytic spaces above we find the following more precise statement (cf. [21] for more details).

Theorem 1.12 (Drinfel'd theorem)**.** *Let* $\Gamma_{p,+}$ *be the subgroup of* $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ *defined by*

$$\Gamma_{p,+} := \Phi_p(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_H[1/p]^\times \mid v_p(\mathrm{Nm}_{H/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)) \equiv 0 \bmod 2\})/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times,$$

and let $X_{p,+}$ *be the algebraic curve over* $\mathbb{Q}_p$ *such that*

$$\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{H}_p \simeq X_{p,+}^{rig}.$$

Then the p-adic Shimura curve $X(Dp,N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ *is a quadratic twist over* $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ *of the algebraic curve* $X_{p,+}$*, i.e., there exists an isomorphism of curves over* $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$

$$(X(Dp,N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} \simeq X_{p,+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}.$$

In particular, there is a bijection between the following sets of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$*-points:*

$$\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{H}_p(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \simeq X(Dp,N)(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}),$$

and the reduction-graph of the special fibre of $\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)$ *is the quotient graph* $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$. □

Remark 1.13. If we think the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ as the quadratic unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$, we obtain the well-known bijection of sets:

$$\Gamma_{\infty,+}\backslash\mathcal{H} \simeq X(Dp,N)(\mathbb{C}),$$

describing the complex uniformisation of the Shimura curves $X(Dp,N)$.

Remark 1.14. The group $\Gamma_{p,+}$ is not always torsion-free but, as we shall see in the following, it admits a torsion-free normal and finite index subgroup (cf. Lemma 1.19). Therefore we will see that the curve $X_{p,+}$ is actually a finite quotient of a Mumford curve.

1.4 p-adic Schottky groups and good fundamental domains

In this section we are going to expose briefly the theory of Mumford curves over the ring of integers of a local field (cf. [26]) and we will relate it to the theory of p-adic uniformisation of Shimura curves. Moreover we will recall the notion of *good* fundamental domains, following [19].

Denote by $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, by $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers (which is a local noetherian ring of Krull dimension 1), and by k its residue field.

Remark 1.15. First of all, let us observe that the definition we have given of p-adic upper half-plane and p-adic Bruhat-Tits tree can be easily extended to an arbitrary local field K. We would then find a rigid analytic variety $\mathcal{H}$ over K whose set of L-points is $\mathcal{H}(L) = \mathbb{P}^1_K(L) \smallsetminus \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ together with the Bruhat-Tits tree $\mathcal{T}$ associated to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$, which can be identified with the reduction of $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 1.16. A subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ is called a *p-adic Schottky group* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) it is discontinuous, i.e., its associated set of limit points $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma$ is different from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$,

(ii) it is finitely generated,

(iii) it has no elements of finite order different from the identity I_2; equivalently, all transformations $\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq \mathrm{I}_2$, are hyperbolic.

Given a Schottky group $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$, let us denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\Gamma$ the admissible formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \mathcal{O}_K$ whose generic fibre is the rigid analytic variety $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma := \mathbb{P}^{1,rig} \smallsetminus \mathcal{L}_\Gamma$, and by $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$ the dual graph of its special fibre. Note that since $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, we have that $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ is a subdomain of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{T}_\Gamma)$ a subset of the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, we also know that, actually, $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$ is a subtree of $\mathcal{T}$, since $\dim(A) = 1$.

In his celebrated paper [26] Mumford proved that for every Schottky group Γ there exists a proper curve $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\Gamma \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\Gamma \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Gamma$ is an isomorphism of formal schemes over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \mathcal{O}_K$. The curve $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ is a stable curve (in the sense of Deligne and Mumford) such that its special fibre $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ is k-split degenerate and whose dual graph is the quotient graph $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}_\Gamma$ (cf. [26, Definition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3]). Finally Mumford also proved that the correspondence $\Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ induces a bijection between the set of isomorphy classes of stable curves $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with k-split degenerate special fibre and the set of conjugacy classes of Schottky groups $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$.

When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the Schottky group $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ is cocompact, we have that $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \mathcal{H}_p$, and $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \mathcal{T}_p$.

Definition 1.17. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a Schottky group of rank g, let $S = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_g\}$ be a system of generators for Γ. A *good fundamental domain* for Γ with respect to S is an admissible subdomain of $\mathcal{H}_p$,

$$\mathcal{F}_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{2g} \mathbb{B}^-(\alpha_i, \rho_i),$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) the centres α_i are in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq 2g$,

(ii) the closed balls $\mathbb{B}^+_i(\alpha_i, \rho_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$, are pair-wise disjoint,

(iii) for every $1 \leq i \leq g$,

$$\gamma_i(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \mathbb{B}^-(\alpha_i, \rho_i)) = \mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_{i+g}, \rho_{i+g}),$$

$$\gamma_i(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_i, \rho_i)) = \mathbb{B}^-(\alpha_{i+g}, \rho_{i+g}).$$

Remark 1.18. The fact that the domain $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ defined above is a fundamental domain (in the usual sense) for the action of Γ is proved in [19, Proposition 4.1]. Nevertheless, as observed by Gerritzen in [16], it is possible to construct an admissible subdomain $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ satisfying Proposition [19, Proposition 4.1] and which is not a *good* fundamental domain with respect to any system of generators of the group Γ considered.

In [16] and [19, Ch. I] the existence of good fundamental domains for every Schottky group is proved, making use of the non-archimedean analog of Ford's method of isometry circles.

The following theorem is the p-adic analog of a well-known result about discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and more in general about discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which was first proved by Selberg in [29, Lemma 8]. This is one of the key steps in the proof of the Čerednik-Drinfel'd Theorem, since it allows to reduce to the case of Mumford curves through a finite cover. By this result we find that the curve $X_{p,+}$ of Theorem 1.12 is a finite quotient of a Mumford curve. Therefore the p-adic Shimura curve is a quadratic twist of a finite quotient of a Mumford curve. Since this result is also at the base of the method that we are going to present in Section 3 for finding Mumford curves covering certain p-adic Shimura curves, we sketch here a proof.

Theorem 1.19. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a discontinuous and finitely generated group. Then there exists a normal subgroup Γ^{Sch} of finite index which is torsion-free. In particular Γ^{Sch} is a p-adic Schottky group.*

Proof. Let S be a subset of elements of finite order in Γ such that every element of finite order in Γ is conjugated to an element in S. It is easy to prove that the set S can be taken to be finite (cf. for example [19, Lemma 3.3.2]). Since Γ is finitely generated, we can find a ring $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and such that $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(R) \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since S is finite, there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ such that, for every $\sigma \in S$, $\sigma \neq \mathrm{I}_2$, we have $\sigma - \mathrm{I}_2 \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, the group

$$\Gamma^{Sch} := \Gamma(\mathfrak{m}) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma - \mathrm{I}_2 \in \mathfrak{m}\}$$

is a normal subgroup of Γ of finite index (since $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite field) and from the fact that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{m})$ is normal it follows that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{m})$ does not contain any of the elements of finite order in Γ. □

2 Modular arithmetic in definite quaternion algebras

In this section we will extend the notion of *primary quaternions* introduced in [20] for the order of Hurwitz quaternions, to Eichler orders $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with one-sided ideal class number equal to 1. This notion will turn out to be crucial in order to prove a unique factorisation result (Theorem 2.14) in these Eichler orders, a result that extends the *Zerlegungsatz* for the Hurwitz quaternions.

Let H denote a definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant D and let $\mathcal{O} \subset H$ denote an Eichler order over $\mathbb{Z}$ of level N. Fix a a place ℓ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell] := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ denote the corresponding order over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. In particular note that, when $\ell = \infty$, then $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell] = \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq H$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$-ideal (i.e. a $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$-module of rank 4). We denote by $\mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\alpha \in H \mid \alpha\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$ its left order and by $\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\alpha \in H \mid \mathfrak{a}\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$ its right order. These are clearly orders over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is said to be a *left ideal* of $\mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and a *right ideal* of $\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$. Moreover we can also say that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a *one-sided* ideal of $\mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and a one-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$, without specifying the side by which the multiplication is stable. The ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is said to be an *integral ideal* if it is contained both in $\mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$, and is said to be a *two-sided ideal* if $\mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$. Finally, we say that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a *principal ideal* if there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_l(\mathfrak{a})\alpha = \alpha\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$.

Two $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$-ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ of H are equivalent on the left (resp. on the right) if there exists $\alpha \in H^\times$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha\mathfrak{b}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}\alpha$). It is immediate to see that if two $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$-ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are equivalent on the left, then they have the same right order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$, and the set of classes of right ideals of $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$, with respect to this equivalence relation, is called the *set of right ideal classes* of the order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$. Analogously, we can define the *set of left ideal classes* of the order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$. The set of left ideal classes and that of right ideal classes are in bijection, and the associated cardinality is called the *one-sided ideal class number* of the order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$. Moreover this class number does not depend on the conjugacy class of the Eichler order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$ inside H, and so it is denoted by $h(D, N)$.

Note that, even if the notation we used for it depends only on D and N, the number $h(D, N)$ of $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$ also depends on the base ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. Actually, when the order $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$ satisfies Eichler's condition (i.e. when the algebra H is not ramified at ℓ), then, by the strong approximation Theorem, this number is equal to the strict ideal class number of $\mathbb{Q}$, that is $h(D, N) = 1$ (cf. [13]). On the other side, when $\mathcal{O}[1/\ell]$ does not satisfy Eichler's condition (which is the case, for example, for $\ell = \infty$, since the algebra H is supposed to be definite), then there is a different formula to compute the number $h(D, N)$ (cf. [14] for the case $N = 1$).

Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an integral right-sided ideal (resp. left-sided) of $\mathcal{O}$, and let $(a) := \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Then the quotient $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated right-module (resp. left-module) over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}$, the quaternion α is said to be *congruent to β modulo* $\mathfrak{a}$, and one writes $\alpha \equiv \beta \bmod \mathfrak{a}$, if $\alpha - \beta \in \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{a}$ is a two-sided integral ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, then $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a (possibly) non-commutative ring, which is called the *ring of quaternion classes modulo* $\mathfrak{a}$.

Notation 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \gamma\mathcal{O}$ be an integral ideal and consider the natural projection

$$\lambda_r : \mathcal{O}/\mathrm{Nm}(\gamma)\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\gamma\mathcal{O}.$$

We will denote by $(\mathcal{O}/\gamma\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ the image of $(\mathcal{O}/\mathrm{Nm}(\gamma)\mathcal{O})^\times$ under the map λ_r. Analogously, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}\gamma$, we denote by λ_ℓ the associated projection and $(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}\gamma)_\ell^\times := \mathrm{Im}(\lambda_\ell)$.

Remark 2.2. Note that when $\gamma = m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $m\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}m$, and the set $(\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O})_r^\times = (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}m)_\ell^\times$ coincides with the group of units $(\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O})^\times$ of the quotient ring $\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O}$, since the projection of rings $\lambda : \mathcal{O}/m^2\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O}$ restricts to a projection on the associated unit groups.

If we take an integral basis $\{1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ for $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ we can see that the ring

$$\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O} = \{[\alpha] = a_0 + a_1\theta_1 + a_2\theta_2 + a_3\theta_3 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 4, and its group of units is

$$(\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O})^\times = \{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O} \mid (\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha), m) = 1\}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. The group $\mathcal{O}^\times$ acts on $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ by right-multiplication: take $\alpha + \xi\mathcal{O} \in (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. Then we define

$$(\alpha + \xi\mathcal{O})\varepsilon := \alpha\varepsilon + \xi\mathcal{O} = \lambda_r(\alpha\varepsilon + \mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O}) \in (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times.$$

Two elements $\alpha + \xi\mathcal{O}$ and $\beta + \xi\mathcal{O}$ are equivalent if there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $(\alpha + \xi\mathcal{O})\varepsilon = \beta + \xi\mathcal{O}$, and we denote by $[\alpha]$ the class of $\alpha + \xi\mathcal{O}$.

The following definition of *ξ-primary quaternion* generalises the one of Hurwitz [20] for a maximal order over $\mathbb{Z}$ inside the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant 2. In what follows, we take $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ to be an Eichler order over $\mathbb{Z}$ of level N, with $h(D, N) = 1$.

Definition 2.3. Take a quaternion $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{[\alpha_1], \ldots, [\alpha_r]\} \subseteq (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ be a system of representatives of $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ for the right-multiplication action given by $\mathcal{O}^\times$. Suppose that:

(*i*) $\mathcal{O}^\times$ acts freely on $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$,

(*ii*) $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$ acts freely on $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$.

Then we call $\mathcal{P}$ a *ξ-primary class set* for $\mathcal{O}$. A quaternion α that belongs to some class in $\mathcal{P}$ is called a *ξ-primary* quaternion with respect to $\mathcal{P}$.

Remark 2.4. Assume that $\mathcal{O}$ admits a ξ-primary class set $\mathcal{P} = \{[\alpha_1], \ldots, [\alpha_r]\}$ and take $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $[\alpha] = \alpha + \xi\mathcal{O} \in (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$. Then there exists a unique $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $[\alpha]\varepsilon = [\alpha_i] \in \mathcal{P}$. Moreover, if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$, then ε is unique, and if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, then ε is unique up to sign.

Note that, if in particular $\mathcal{P} = \{[1]\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$ is a ξ-primary class set for $\mathcal{O}$, then α is ξ-primary with respect to $\{[1]\}$ if and only if $\alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}$.

The notion of ξ-primary quaternions turns out to be very important if we want to have unique factorisation in definite quaternion orders. It is an interesting open problem to find ξ-primary class sets in a given definite quaternion order with one-sided ideal class number 1. In [20] Hurwitz takes $\xi = 2(1+i)$ and shows that the (maximal) order of Hurwitz quaternions $\mathcal{O}$ in the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant $D = 2$ admits the $2(1+i)$-primary class set $\{[1], [1+2\rho]\} \subseteq (\mathcal{O}/2(1+i)\mathcal{O})^\times$ for $\mathcal{O}$. Using this, he proves a unique factorisation result or *Zerlegungssatz* for elements in this Eichler order. Our next goal is to extend the *Zerlegungssatz* of Hurwitz to all definite quaternion orders with $h(D, N) = 1$. Moreover, as we shall see in the next section, we will find a ξ-primary class set for most of these orders.

Definition 2.5. Let us take $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. We say that ξ satisfies the *right-unit property* in $\mathcal{O}$ if the following is satisfied:

(*i*) the map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times,\ u \mapsto \lambda_r(u + \mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O})$, is a bijection if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$.

(*ii*) the map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times,\ u \mapsto \lambda_r(u + \mathrm{Nm}(\xi)\mathcal{O})$, is a bijection if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$.

Remark 2.6. In the second case we have that either $\xi\mathcal{O} = 2\mathcal{O}$, or $\mathrm{Nm}(\xi) = 2$ or $\mathrm{Nm}(\xi) = 1$, so in particular the surjection λ_r is either $\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \{1\}$.

Remark 2.7. If $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$, then the bijection of the definition induces a multiplicative group structure on the set $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times = \mathrm{Im}(\lambda_r)$.

When $\xi\mathcal{O}$ is a two-sided integral principal ideal, then the map $\lambda_r = \lambda_\ell$ is an epimorphism of rings and $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$ is the unit group of the ring $\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$. In the next lemma we show how, in this case, one can relate $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ and $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$.

Lemma 2.8. *Take a two-sided integral principal ideal $\xi\mathcal{O}$ such that the map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$), $\varphi(u) = [u]$ is a well defined group isomorphism if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$ (resp. if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$). Then $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times = (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$ and ξ satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. If $\xi = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the result is clear (cf. Remark 2.2). Suppose that $\xi \notin \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathrm{Nm}(\xi) = m$, and consider the natural projection of rings $\lambda_r : \mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O},\ \alpha + m\mathcal{O} \mapsto \alpha + \xi\mathcal{O}$ which restricts to the unit group, since $m\mathcal{O} \subseteq \xi\mathcal{O}$, so $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_r) = (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times \subseteq (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$. We want to see that this restriction is still surjective. By assumption, if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$ (resp. if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$) we have the group isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times \quad (\text{resp. } /\mathcal{O}^\times\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times) \quad \varphi(u) = [u]$$

Take $[\alpha] \in (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$) such that $\varphi(u) = [u] = [\alpha]$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Nm}(u) = 1$, we have that $u + m\mathcal{O} \in (\mathcal{O}/m\mathcal{O})^\times$ (cf. Remark 2.2), and $\lambda_r(u + m\mathcal{O}) := u + \xi\mathcal{O} = \alpha + \xi\mathcal{O} = [\alpha]$, so $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times = (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times$, and consequently, ξ-satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let us take $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{[1]\}$ is a ξ-primary class set for $\mathcal{O}$ if and only if ξ satisfies the right-unit property.*

Proof. Note that we have $\#\mathcal{P} = \#(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times / \#\mathcal{O}^\times$ if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$ and $\#\mathcal{P} = \#(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times / \#(\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times)$ otherwise. □

Remark 2.10. The right-unit property of Definition 2.5 turns out to be a very important and powerful condition, as we will see in what follows. We will be particularly interested in integral ideals $\xi\mathcal{O}$ such that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, because those are the ones that will be useful to us in Section 3. In this case will see that this is also a *natural* property, since we are able to find such quaternions with the right-unit property in all definite Eichler orders over $\mathbb{Z}$ with one-sided ideal class number 1 (except for two cases, for which such ξ does not exist).

In Table 1 we list all definite Eichler orders $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ of level N (up to conjugation) with $h(D, N) = 1$ that have an element $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property and such that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$. This list coincides with that of all definite Eichler orders over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $h(D, N) = 1$, except for the values $(D, N) = (2, 5)$ and $(7, 1)$ (cf. [23, Table 8.2]). In order to do this, we first need to fix a basis for the definite quaternion algebra H containing each order, as well as an integral basis for each order, and then express the element ξ in this basis. Note that, although the quaternion ξ is not unique, the existence of such a ξ does not depend on the integral basis chosen for $\mathcal{O}$.

D	H	N	$\mathcal{O}$	$\#(\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times)$	ξ	$\mathrm{Nm}(\xi)$
2	$\left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	1	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, i, j, \frac{1}{2}(1+i+j+k)\right]$	12	2	4
		3	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 3i, -2i+j, \frac{1}{2}(1-i+j+k)\right]$	3	$(-i+k)$	2
		9	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 9i, -4i+j, \frac{1}{2}(1-3i+j+k)\right]$	1	1	1
		11	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 11i, -10i+j, \frac{1}{2}(1-3i+j+k)\right]$	1	1	1
3	$\left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	1	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, i, \frac{1}{2}(i+j), \frac{1}{2}(1+k)\right]$	6	2	4
		2	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 2i, \frac{1}{2}(-i+j), \frac{1}{2}-i+\frac{1}{2}k\right]$	2	$\frac{1}{2}(-1-i-j+k)$	2
		4	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 4i, \frac{1}{2}(-5i+j), \frac{1}{2}-3i+\frac{1}{2}k\right]$	1	1	1
5	$\left(\frac{-2,-5}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	1	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, \frac{1}{2}(1+i+j), j, \frac{1}{4}(2+i+k)\right]$	3	$\frac{1}{2}(-1+i-j)$	2
		2	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, 1+i+j, \frac{1}{2}(-1-i+j), \frac{1}{4}(-i-2j+k)\right]$	1	1	1
13	$\left(\frac{-2,-13}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$	1	$\mathbb{Z}\left[1, \frac{1}{2}(1+i+j), j, \frac{1}{4}(2+i+k)\right]$	1	1	1

Table 1: Definite Eichler orders $\mathcal{O}$ with an element $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property.

Definition 2.11. Let $\{1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ be an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$. A quaternion $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\theta_1 + a_2\theta_2 + a_3\theta_3 \in \mathcal{O}$ is *primitive* if the ideal generated by its coordinates, $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, is the entire ring $\mathbb{Z}$. Note that this definition does not depend on the chosen integral basis. A nonzero quaternion $\pi \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^\times$ is *irreducible* if, whenever $\pi = \alpha\beta$, then either $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ or $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^\times$.

In total analogy to the number fields situation, one can easily prove the following statement characterising irreducible quaternions (cf. also [20]).

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N with $h(D,N) = 1$. A primitive quaternion $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ is irreducible if and only if its norm is a prime integer.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi)$ is prime then it is obvious that π has to be irreducible. Reciprocally, let us assume the $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ is irreducible and that $p \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\pi)$ is a prime factor of the norm. We are going to show that, when π is primitive, we have strict inclusions

$$p\mathcal{O} \subsetneq p\mathcal{O} + \pi\mathcal{O} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}.$$

If the first inclusion were an equality, then $p \mid \pi$ and, since π is irreducible, $p \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ or $\pi\mathcal{O} = p\mathcal{O}$. Both options lead to a contradiction, since p is prime (of norm $\mathrm{Nm}(p) = p^2$) and π is assumed to be primitive. If the second inclusion were an equality then it is an easy computation to see that $p \mid \mathrm{Nm}(x)$, for every $x \in p\mathcal{O} + \pi\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$, a contradiction. Since the order $\mathcal{O}$ has one-sided ideal class number equal to 1, then $p\mathcal{O} + \pi\mathcal{O} = \alpha\mathcal{O}$, for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^\times$ and then $\pi = \alpha\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$. Moreover $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ since π is irreducible. Hence $p \in p\mathcal{O} + \pi\mathcal{O} = \alpha\mathcal{O} = \pi\mathcal{O}$, i.e., $\pi \mid p$ and $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi) \mid \mathrm{Nm}(p) = p^2$. Since π is irreducible its norm $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi)$ cannot be equal to 1 and, since π is primitive, cannot be $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi) = p^2$ either. Actually $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi) = p^2$ implies $p = \pi\varepsilon$ for a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and so $\pi = p\varepsilon^{-1}$. Finally the only possible option is $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi) = p$. □

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an Eichler order with $h(D,N) = 1$, and take $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta) = p$ is prime. If $p \mid \alpha\beta\gamma$ and $p \nmid \alpha\beta$, then $p \mid \beta\gamma$.*

Proof. Consider the ideal $\overline{\beta}\mathcal{O} + \gamma\mathcal{O} = \delta\mathcal{O}$, which is principal by assumption. Then $\mathrm{Nm}(\delta) \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\overline{\beta}) = p$, which gives $\mathrm{Nm}(\delta) = 1$ or p. If $\mathrm{Nm}(\delta) = 1$ then $\delta \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, so there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $1 = \overline{\beta}x + \gamma y$. This implies that $\alpha\beta = \alpha\beta(\overline{\beta}x + \gamma y) = \alpha\beta\overline{\beta}x + \alpha\beta\gamma y = \alpha p x + \alpha\beta\gamma y$, so $p \mid \alpha\beta$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\delta = \overline{\beta}\varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. Since $\delta\mathcal{O} = \overline{\beta}\mathcal{O} + \gamma\mathcal{O}$, this gives that $\gamma = \overline{\beta}z$, for some $z \in \mathcal{O}$. Finally, we obtain that $p \mid \beta\overline{\beta}z = \beta\gamma$. □

Theorem 2.14 (Zerlegungssatz)**.** *Let H be a definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D and let $\mathcal{O}$ be an Eichler order over $\mathbb{Z}$ of level N with $h(D,N) = 1$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be an integral quaternion such that $\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$ contains a ξ-primary class set $\mathcal{P}$.*

Let us take $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ a primitive and ξ-primary quaternion with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ such that its norm has a decomposition in prime factors

$$\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_s.$$

Then α admits a decomposition in primitive irreducible and ξ-primary quaternions with respect to $\mathcal{P}$:

$$\alpha = \pi_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \pi_s$$

with $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_i) = p_i$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$ this decomposition is unique, and if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, the decomposition is unique up to sign.

Proof. First consider the integral right ideal $p_1\mathcal{O} + \alpha\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{O}$, which is principal by assumption, so $p_1\mathcal{O} + \alpha\mathcal{O} = \pi_1\mathcal{O}$, for some $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ uniquely determined up to right multiplication by a unit. Let us see that π_1 is then irreducible and $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_1) = p_1$. Since $p_1\mathcal{O} \subseteq \pi_1\mathcal{O}$, we have that $\pi_1 \mid p_1$, and then $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_1) \mid \mathrm{Nm}(p_1) = p_1^2$. If $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_1) = 1$, then $p_1\mathcal{O} + \alpha\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$, and since every $x \in p_1\mathcal{O} + \alpha\mathcal{O}$ has norm such that $p_1 \mid \mathrm{Nm}(x)$ one obtains a contradiction. If $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_1) = p_1^2 = \mathrm{Nm}(p_1)$ then, since we also have $\pi_1 \mid p_1$, we conclude that $\pi_1 = p_1\varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. But then $p_1\mathcal{O} + \alpha\mathcal{O} = p_1\mathcal{O}$, so $p_1 \mid \alpha$, which contradicts the primitivity of α. Thus

we have shown that $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_1) = p_1$, so it is primitive, and then we know by Lemma 2.12 that π_1 is irreducible. Moreover, since by assumption we have a ξ-primary class set $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$, there exists a unit $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\pi_1\varepsilon_1$ is ξ-primary, and this unit is unique if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$ and is unique up to sign if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$. Thus we obtain a decomposition $\alpha = \pi_1\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_1^{-1}\alpha_1$, for some $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{O}$, where $\pi_1\varepsilon_1$ is primitive, ξ-primary and irreducible. Moreover since both α and $\pi_1\varepsilon_1$ are primitive, $\varepsilon_1^{-1}\alpha_1$ is also a primitive quaternion.

Next we apply the same argument to the quaternion $\varepsilon_1^{-1}\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{O}$, whose norm is $\mathrm{Nm}(\varepsilon_1^{-1}\alpha_1) = p_2 \dots p_s$, and we obtain $\varepsilon_1^{-1}\alpha_1 = \pi_2\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_2^{-1}\alpha_2$ with $\pi_2\varepsilon_2$ primitive, ξ-primary and irreducible. Iterating the process we find primitive and irreducible quaternions $\pi_1\varepsilon_1, \dots, \pi_s\varepsilon_s$ in $\mathcal{O}$ with $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_i) = p_i$, such that $\alpha = \pi_1\varepsilon_1 \dots \pi_s\varepsilon_s$, and these determine a factorisation of α of the form

$$\alpha = \pi_1\varepsilon_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \pi_s\varepsilon_s,$$

where $\pi_1\varepsilon_1, \dots, \pi_s\varepsilon_s$ are primitive and ξ-primary quaternions with $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_i) = p_i$, and every $\varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ in the decomposition is uniquely determined by each π_i (up to sign if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$).

Finally, let us see that this factorisation is unique (up to sign if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$). Suppose that we have two factorisations of α in primitive ξ-primary irreducible quaternions:

$$\alpha = \pi_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \pi_s = \sigma_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_s, \quad \text{for } s \geq 2,$$

with $\mathrm{Nm}(\pi_i) = \mathrm{Nm}(\sigma_i) = p_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s\overline{\pi}_s = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_s\overline{\pi}_s$, so $p_s \mid \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_s\overline{\pi}_s = (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{s-1})\sigma_s\overline{\pi}_s$. Since we are assuming that α is primitive, $p_s \nmid (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{s-1})\sigma_s$. Thus, by Lemma 2.13, we have that $p_s \mid \sigma_s\overline{\pi}_s$. Now $\sigma_s\overline{\pi}_s = \varepsilon p_s = \varepsilon\pi_s\overline{\pi}_s$, for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$, so $\sigma_s = \varepsilon\pi_s$ and ε is a unit. Since both π_s and σ_s are primitive and ξ-primary, we obtain that $\varepsilon = 1$ if $2 \notin \xi\mathcal{O}$, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ otherwise. □

3 A method for finding Mumford curves covering Shimura curves

In this section we state and prove the main result of this article (Theorem 3.5). This result gives a concrete way to find generators for certain Schottky groups which are subgroups of the p-adic quaternion groups uniformising p-adic Shimura curves. In particular, we will be able to construct good fundamental domains for the associated Mumford curves and, consequently, to obtain also the stable reduction-graphs of these curves (Theorem 3.10). Finally, we will see that the considered Mumford curves are arithmetic coverings of certain families of p-adic Shimura curves (Corollary 3.11).

3.1 Systems of generators for arithmetic Schottky groups

Let H be a definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant D and let $p \nmid D$ be an odd prime. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N coprime with p. Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}[1/p] := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ the corresponding Eichler order over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$, which is unique up to conjugation, and by $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ its group of units, which is the group of quaternions in $\mathcal{O}[1/p]$ with norm equal to some integral power of p. Finally, let us denote by $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times_+$ the corresponding group of *positive* units, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times_+ := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times \mid v_p(\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha)) \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}.$$

This is an index 2 subgroup of $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$. A system of representatives for the quotient group $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times_+/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times$ is given by the group of quaternions $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ with norm equal to 1, in analogy with the arquimedean case.

In the following result we apply the *Zerlegungssatz*, valid for the Eichler order $\mathcal{O}$ when its one-sided ideal class number is $h(D,N)=1$, in order to give a certain unique factorisation of the elements in the group $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let us assume that $\mathcal{O}$ has one-sided ideal class number $h(D,N)=1$, and let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be an integral quaternion such that $\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O}$ contains a primary class set $\mathcal{P}$. Then every $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ can be decomposed as a product*

$$\alpha = p^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \beta_i \cdot \varepsilon,$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ unique (up to sign if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$), unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for unique $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \in \mathcal{O}$ primitive ξ-primary quaternions with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta_i) = p$, for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, and such that no factor of the form $\beta_i \cdot \beta_{i+1} = p$ appears in the product.

Proof. Let us take $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$. Since the norm maps $\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ into $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times$ and the order $\mathcal{O}$ is definite, then $\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p^s$, for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $l \geq 0$ be the smallest non-negative integer such that $p^l\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $m \geq 1$ be the greatest common divisor of the integral coordinates of $p^l\alpha$ in some integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$, and put $\beta := \frac{p^l\alpha}{m}$. Then $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta) = \frac{p^{2l+s}}{m^2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, so m is a power of p, say $m = p^t$, and $\alpha = p^{t-l}\beta$. Now $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ is a primitive quaternion with $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta) = p^{2l+s-2t}$, and since by assumption $\mathcal{O}$ contains a ξ-primary class set, there exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ (up to sign if $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$) such that $\beta' := \beta\varepsilon^{-1}$ is a primitive and ξ-primary quaternion having the same norm as β. Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.14 to β' and obtain the result with $n := t - l$. □

Since $p \nmid D$, we can take a p-adic matrix immersion $\Phi_p : H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We now consider the following groups introduced in Section 1:

$$\Gamma_p := \Phi_p(\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times)/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{p,+} := \Phi_p(\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times_+)/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times.$$

These are the important groups arising in the p-adic uniformisation of a Shimura curve of discriminant Dp. We will show that, under certain assumptions on H and $\mathcal{O}$, we can find a Schottky group $\Gamma^{\mathrm{Sch}} \subseteq \Gamma_p$ together with a finite system of generators for Γ^{Sch}. As predicted by Theorem 1.19, we can look for this Schottky group among the *principal congruence subgroups* of Γ_p.

Take $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 2.5). From now on we will assume that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$. We then distinguish two non-trivial cases: either $\xi = 2$ or $\mathrm{Nm}(\xi) = 2$ (cf. Remark 2.6). In the first case, we consider the following group homomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi: & \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times & \to & (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^\times = (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^\times_r \\ & \alpha & \mapsto & \alpha + 2\mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

(cf. Remark 2.2 for the equality). If $\mathrm{Nm}(\xi) = 2$, we consider the following group homomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{rccccc} \pi: & \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times & \overset{\pi'}{\to} & (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^\times & \overset{\lambda_r}{\twoheadrightarrow} & (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times_r \\ & \alpha & \mapsto & \alpha + 2\mathcal{O} & \mapsto & \alpha + \xi\mathcal{O}, \end{array}$$

where $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times_r$ has the structure of multiplicative group induced by the bijection $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \simeq (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})^\times_r$ (cf. Remark 2.7). Note that, since p is odd, any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ has norm $\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, and the map π is well-defined. Moreover, π factorises via $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times$, since $\alpha = \pm p^n\beta$ implies that $\alpha \equiv \beta \bmod 2\mathcal{O}$. This induces the short exact sequence described in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be an integral quaternion satisfying the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, and let p be an odd prime. Then the map π is surjective and there is a split short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \to \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times \mid \alpha - 1 \in \xi\mathcal{O}\}/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times \to \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times \to 1.$$

Proof. Since the order $\mathcal{O}[1/p] \subseteq H$ satisfies Eichler's condition, we can apply the Strong Approximation Theorem (cf. [36, Ch III, Théorème 4.3] and [28, Lemma 1.1]) in order to show that the map π is surjective. Finally, since we have a bijection

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times,\ \varphi(u) = [u],$$

there is a split given by $(\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times \simeq \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times$. □

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, we define the following congruence subgroups:

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_p(\xi) := \Phi_p(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times \mid \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

$$\Gamma_p(\xi) := \widetilde{\Gamma}_p(\xi)/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Note that $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a normal subgroup of Γ_p of finite index, which can be referred to as the *principal congruence subgroup* of Γ_p of level $\xi\mathcal{O}$.

In what follows we will see that, under certain assumptions, the group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group and we will find a finite and free system of generators for it. With this aim, let us define the following finite set:

$$\widetilde{S} := \Phi_p(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}) \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}_p(\xi),$$

and we denote by S the image of $\widetilde{S}$ in $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ under the natural projection $\widetilde{\Gamma}_p(\xi) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_p(\xi)$.

Remark 3.3. Let us take $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\theta_1 + a_2\theta_2 + a_3\theta_3 \in \mathcal{O}$, where $\{1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ is an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$. Suppose that $\Phi_p(\alpha) \in \widetilde{S}$. Then $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \equiv (1, 0, 0, 0) \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}$, so $-\alpha = (-a_0, -a_1, -a_2, -a_3) \equiv (1, 0, 0, 0) \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}$ and $\overline{\alpha} = (a_0, -a_1, -a_2, -a_3) \equiv (1, 0, 0, 0) \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}$. This is because we are assuming that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$. Thus, if $\Phi_p(\alpha) \in \widetilde{S}$, then $\Phi_p(\pm\alpha), \Phi_p(\pm\overline{\alpha}) \in \widetilde{S}$.

Following the same idea as in [19, Ch. IX], we start by splitting $\widetilde{S}$ into two disjoint sets depending on the trace of their quaternions, namely

$$\widetilde{S} = \Phi_p(\{\pm\alpha_1, \ldots, \pm\alpha_s, \pm\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \pm\overline{\alpha}_s\}) \cup \Phi_p(\{\pm\beta_1, \ldots, \pm\beta_t\}),$$

where $\pm\alpha_i, \pm\overline{\alpha}_i \in \mathcal{O}$, for $1 \le i \le s$, are the *impure* quaternions in $\widetilde{S}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_i) \neq 0$), and $\pm\beta_j$, for $1 \le j \le t$, are the *pure* quaternions in $\widetilde{S}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}(\beta_i) = 0$). We then have:

$$S = \{[\Phi_p(\alpha_1)], \ldots, [\Phi_p(\alpha_s)], [\Phi_p(\beta_1)], \ldots, [\Phi_p(\beta_t)]\},$$

where $[\Phi_p(\alpha_i)], [\Phi_p(\beta_j)]$ denote the classes of the matrices $\Phi_p(\alpha_i)$, $\Phi_p(\beta_j)$ inside $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. From now on s and t denote the integers such that $\#\widetilde{S} = 4s + 2t$ and $\#S = s + t$.

Definition 3.4. For every odd prime $p \nmid DN$, we define the following integer:

$$t_\xi(p) := \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O},\ \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

Therefore, $t_\xi(p) = 0$ if and only if there is no transformation $\gamma \in S$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma) = 0$. In this case, we will say that p satisfies the *null-trace condition* with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be a definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N with $h(D,N) = 1$. Let $p \nmid DN$ be an odd prime. Consider*

$$S = \{[\Phi_p(\alpha_1)], \dots, [\Phi_p(\alpha_s)], [\Phi_p(\beta_1)], \dots, [\Phi_p(\beta_t)]\} \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in \mathcal{O}$ are the pure quaternions in $\mathcal{O}$ with norm p (up to sign and conjugation) and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$ are the impure quaternions in $\mathcal{O}$ with norm p (up to sign). Then for every $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$ that satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$, the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ has S as a system of generators and the only relations among these are the following ones:

$$[\Phi_p(\beta_i)]^2 = 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

In particular, if p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$, then $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group of rank s.

Proof. On the one hand, the split short exact sequence described in Lemma 3.2 gives an isomorphism of groups

$$\Gamma_p \simeq \Gamma_p(\xi) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times.$$

On the other hand, since we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 2.9 we have that $\mathcal{P} = \{[1]\}$ is a ξ-primary class set for $\mathcal{O}$. By Proposition 3.1, every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times$ can be written uniquely up to sign as $\alpha = p^n \prod_{i=1}^r \beta_i \cdot \varepsilon$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathcal{O}$ primitive ξ-primary quaternions with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta_i) = p$ and such that no factor of the form $\beta_i \cdot \beta_{i+1} = p$ appears in the product. This gives us the following split short exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \langle\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}\rangle/\mathbb{Z}^\times & \to & \mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times & \to & \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times & \to & 1, \\ & & & & \alpha & & \varepsilon & & \end{array}$$

since

$$\{\alpha = p^n \prod_{i=1}^r \beta_i \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\beta_i) = p,\, \beta_i \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\} = \langle\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}\rangle.$$

Hence, we obtain the following semi-direct product:

$$\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \simeq \langle\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}\rangle/\mathbb{Z}^\times \rtimes \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$$

After taking the quotient by its centre we obtain

$$\Gamma_p = \langle S \rangle \rtimes \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times.$$

Since ξ satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$, the natural map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \to (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times$ is an isomorphism of groups (cf. Definition 2.5). Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \Gamma_p(\xi) & \to & \Gamma_p & \to & \mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times & \to & 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \| & & \wr| & & \\ 1 & \to & \langle S \rangle & \to & \Gamma_p & \to & (\mathcal{O}/\xi\mathcal{O})_r^\times & \to & 1 \end{array}$$

and we obtain that

$$\Gamma_p(\xi) = \langle S \rangle.$$

With this we have found a finite system of generators for the group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$.

Let us look now at the possible relations among these generators. First of all, observe that $[\Phi_p(\beta_i)]^2 = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, are relations in S, because $\beta_i\overline{\beta}_i = -\beta_i^2 = p$, which is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. We are going to prove that actually these are the only possible relations. Since

$\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha_i) = p$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s$, we observe that if there is a relation among the generators $[\Phi_p(\alpha_i)]$, this must have an even number of elements (since the valuation at p of the determinant of this relation has to be even). Suppose then that we have $[\Phi_p(\alpha_1)] \cdot \ldots \cdot [\Phi_p(\alpha_{2k})] = [1]$, for some positive integer k. This means that $\alpha := \alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{2k} = \pm p^m$, for some $m \geq 1$, and taking norms we find that $m = k$. By Proposition 3.1, α has a decomposition, unique up to sign, as a product $\alpha = p^n \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \beta_i$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and

(a) $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \in \mathcal{O}$ primitive and ξ-primary quaternions with respect to $\mathcal{P} = \{[1]\}$,

(b) $\mathrm{Nm}(\beta_i) = p$,

(c) no factor of the form $\beta_i \cdot \beta_{i+1} = p$ appears in the product.

Since $\alpha = \pm p^k$, by the uniqueness of this decomposition, we cannot have a decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{2k}$ with the α_i satisfying conditions (a)-(c) simultaneously. Since α_i already satisfy conditions (a) and (b), this means that there are α_i, α_{i+1} such that $\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} = p$, so $\alpha_i = \overline{\alpha}_{i+1}$, and this is a contradiction because we already excluded these elements in S. □

Finally, when the group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group (i.e. when p satisfies that $t_\xi(p) = 0$), we want to know the rank of this group, i.e., we would like to compute the cardinality s. We explain how to do this in some of the considered cases and we give a conjecture on the value of s in the remaining cases. Before doing so, we will introduce some notation.

Once we have fixed a presentation $H = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ for the quaternion algebra and a basis for the Eichler order $\mathcal{O}$, let $\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}(X,Y,Z,T) := X^2 - aY^2 - bZ^2 + abT^2$ denote the quaternary normic form associated to H with respect to the basis $\{1, i, j, ij\}$, and let $\mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}(X,Y,Z,T)$ denote the quaternary normic form associated to $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to the chosen basis. For every prime p, we consider the number of representations of p by these normic forms:

$$r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) := \#\{(x,y,z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid \mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}(x,y,z,t) = p\},$$

$$r(\mathrm{N}_{O,4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) := \#\{(x,y,z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid \mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}(x,y,z,t) = p\}.$$

Note that $r(\mathrm{N}_{O,4}, p; \mathbb{Z})$ does not depend on the presentation of the algebra nor on the chosen basis of $\mathcal{O}$ (cf. [2] Prop. 3.86), while $r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z})$ does depend on the presentation of H. Given $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ we define the following integer:

$$r(p, \xi\mathcal{O}) := \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}.$$

Note that, when $\xi = 2$, then

$$r(p, 2\mathcal{O}) = \#\{(x,y,z,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid \mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}(x,y,z,t) = p,\ (x,y,z,t) \equiv (1,0,0,0) \bmod 2\},$$

and when $\xi = 1$,

$$r(p, \mathcal{O}) = r(\mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}, p; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition 3.6. *For $(D,N) \in \{(2,1),(2,3),(2,9),(2,11),(3,1),(3,2),(3,4)\}$, let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be a quaternion that satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$ (cf. Table 1). If p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 3.4) then $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group of rank*

$$\mathrm{rank}\, \Gamma_p(\xi) = \frac{p+1}{2}.$$

Proof. After Theorem 3.5, since p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$, we have that $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group of rank $\#S = s$. We need to compute in each case $s = \frac{1}{4}r(p, \xi\mathcal{O})$. The number $r(p, \xi\mathcal{O})$ can be computed separately in each case of Table 1 with $D = 2$ or $D = 3$ and the ξ given there. In the case $D = 2,\ N = 1$, by a well-known formula of Jacobi we have that $r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) = 8(p + 1)$ for every odd prime p, and then one can prove that $r(p, \xi\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{4}r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) = 2(p + 1)$ (cf. [19, Ch. IX]).

Let us compute the case $D = 3,\ N = 1$ in a similar way. In this case we take $\xi = 2$ (cf. Table 1) and we fix a presentation for H and a basis for $\mathcal{O}$ as in Table 1. For an odd prime p, we want to compute the number $r(p, 2\mathcal{O})$. A closer look at the set $A := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O}\}$ shows us that a quaternion $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in H$ belongs to A if and only if the following conditions are satisfied (see Lemma 4.10 in Section 4 for a detailed proof):

(i) $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq 3$,

(ii) $a_0^2 + a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 + 3a_3^2 = p$,

(iii) $a_0 + a_3 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, $a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$.

An easy calculation shows that, for any quaternion $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in H$ such that $\mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p$, then either α or $i\alpha$ belongs to A. Thus we have the following relation:

$$r(p, 2\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{2}r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We know that $r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) = 4(p + 1)$ for every prime $p \geq 3$ (cf. [1, Theorem 1.9] and [24]). Thus $r(p, 2\mathcal{O}) = 2(p + 1)$, so $s = (p + 1)/2$.

With similar arguments, for the other cases of the statement one always finds

$$r(p, \xi\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{4}r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) = 2(p + 1) \quad \text{for } D = 2$$

and

$$r(p, \xi\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{2}r(\mathrm{N}_{(a,b),4}, p; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for } D = 3.$$

Summarising, in every case we find $s = (p + 1)/2$. □

Conjecture 3.7. *For $(D, N) \in \{(5, 1), (5, 2), (13, 1)\}$, let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ be a quaternion that satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$ as in Table 1. If p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$ then*

$$r(p, \xi\mathcal{O}) = 2(p + 1),$$

In particular, $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group of rank

$$\operatorname{rank} \Gamma_p(\xi) = \frac{p + 1}{2}.$$

Remark 3.8. (1) A fundamental step in the proof of Proposition 3.6 is the knowledge of a formula for the number of representations $r(\mathrm{N}_{H,4}, p; \mathbb{Z})$. In the cases $D = 2$ and $D = 3$, we find the quaternary quadratic forms $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + T^2$ and $X^2 + Y^2 + 3(Z^2 + T^2)$, respectively, and the number of integral representations of p by these quadratic forms are well-known formulas of Jacobi and Liouville, as we have mentioned.

(2) In the cases of Conjecture 3.7, namely $D = 5$ and $D = 13$, we did not find any explicit formulas for the number of integral representations by the associated quaternary quadratic forms $X^2 + 2Y^2 + 5Z^2 + 10T^2$ and $X^2 + 2Y^2 + 13Z^2 + 26T^2$. This would give us a way to compute the number of representations of the normic forms attached to the respective orders like in the other cases.

(3) For $(D,N)=(5,1)$, the normic form that we consider is

$$N_{\mathcal{O},4}(X,Y,Z,T)=X^2+2Y^2+5Z^2+T^2+XY+XT+5YZ+YT,$$

for $(D,N)=(5,2)$,

$$N_{\mathcal{O},4}(X,Y,Z,T)=X^2+2Y^2+20Z^2+6T^2+XY+XT+10YZ-4YT-20ZT,$$

and for $(D,N)=(13,1)$:

$$N_{\mathcal{O},4}(X,Y,Z,T)=X^2+4Y^2+13Z^2+2T^2+XY+XT+13YZ+YT.$$

(4) Let $\{1,\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\}$ denote a basis for $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. The number of representations $r(p,\xi\mathcal{O})$ can be computed for a fixed prime p as follows:

$$r(p,\xi\mathcal{O})=\#\{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}\mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha)=p,\ \alpha\equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}$$

$$=\{[a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3]\in\mathbb{Z}^4\mid \mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)=p,\ (a_0-1)+a_1\theta_1+a_2\theta_2+a_3\theta_3\in\xi\mathcal{O}\}.$$

Since the normic form $\mathrm{N}_{\mathcal{O},4}(X,Y,Z,T)$ is positive definite, one can find a sufficiently large $N\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $|a_i|<N$, so the integer $r(p,\xi\mathcal{O})$ can be computed using brute force. For the conjectural cases, we have computed this number in Magma up to $p\leq 2000$, and we always find $r(p,\xi\mathcal{O})=2(p+1)$.

3.2 p-adic fundamental domains and their reduction-graphs

In this section we describe how to construct good fundamental domains for Mumford curves uniformised by the Schottky groups of the previous section.

By [16, Satz 1] we know that we can always find a system of generators $S=\{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_g\}$ for a Schottky group Γ such that there exists a good fundamental domain for Γ with respect to S (cf. Definition 1.17). We also say that such a system of generators is *in good position*. The problem to find generators in good position for a given Schottky group is an important problem, which is computationally solved in [25].

In the following proposition we give sufficient conditions for a system of generators of a Schottky groups to be in good position. In particular, this applies to the generators arising from Theorem 3.5 and it will allow us to describe the stable reduction-graph of Mumford curves covering p-adic Shimura curves.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\Gamma\subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a cocompact Schottky group of rank g, and let $S=\{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_g\}$ be a system of generators. Assume that:*

(a) *The rank of Γ is $g=(p+1)/2$.*

(b) *$\mathrm{Det}(\gamma_i)=p\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ for every $i=1,\dots,g$.*

Then a good fundamental domain for the action of Γ with respect to S is

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)\smallsetminus\bigcup_{a=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{B}^-(a,1/\sqrt{p})\cup\mathbb{B}^-(\infty,1/\sqrt{p}).$$

Proof. For every $i=1,\dots,g$, let us denote by $\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+g}\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the two fixed points of the transformations γ_i,γ_i^{-1}, and define the following admissible subdomain of $\mathcal{H}_p$:

$$\mathcal{F}_\Gamma:=\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)\smallsetminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{2g}\mathbb{B}^-\left(\alpha_i,1/\sqrt{p}\right).$$

Condition (i) of Definition 1.17 is clearly satisfied, since the transformations γ_i are hyperbolic, so their fixed points are in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Moreover, since these fixed points by definition satisfy that $\alpha_i = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\gamma_i^n \cdot v^0)$ and $\alpha_{i+g} = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\gamma_i^{-n} \cdot v^0)$, then we find that

$$\gamma_i \cdot e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_i} = -e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{i+g}},$$

and applying the reduction map of Theorem 1.9 we find that condition (iii) of Definition 1.17 is satisfied. Therefore we only need to check condition (ii).

So let us suppose that there exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, (p+1)/2\}, i \neq j$, such that

$$\mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_i, 1/\sqrt{p}) \cap \mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_j, 1/\sqrt{p}) \neq \emptyset.$$

In fact, since these two balls have the same radius we obtain $\mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_i, 1/\sqrt{p}) = \mathbb{B}^+(\alpha_j, 1/\sqrt{p})$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the corresponding reductions mod p of α_i, α_j. Applying the reduction map of Theorem 1.9 and the fact that this is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we find that

$$\gamma_i \cdot e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_i} = -e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{i+g}} \text{ and } \gamma_j \cdot e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_j} = -e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{j+g}}.$$

Therefore $\gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1} \cdot (-e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{j+g}}) = -e^{(1)}_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{i+g}}$, and the transformation $\gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1}$ fixes a vertex of $\mathcal{T}_p$, which is a contradiction since the group Γ_p is torsion-free.

Finally the subdomain $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ is a good fundamental domain for Γ with respect to the system of generators S, and by Lemma 1.3 (b), we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{a=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{B}^-(a, 1/\sqrt{p}) \cup \mathbb{B}^-(\infty, 1/\sqrt{p}).$$

□

Theorem 3.10. *Let H be a definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D, let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N, and let $p \nmid DN$ be an odd prime. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *$h(D, N) = 1$,*
- (ii) *there exists a quaternion $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ which satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$ with $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$,*
- (iii) *the prime p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$,*
- (iv) *if $D = 5$ or $D = 13$, then Conjecture 3.7 holds.*

Then it follows that

- (a) *The group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group of rank $(p+1)/2$ generated by the transformations in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ represented by the matrices in*

$$\widetilde{S} := \Phi_p(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\}).$$

- (b) *A good fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ with respect to the system of generators $\widetilde{S}$ is the admissible subdomain of $\mathcal{H}_p$*

$$\mathcal{F}_p(\xi) := \mathbb{P}^{1,rig}(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{a\in\{0,\dots,p-1,\infty\}} \mathbb{B}^-(a, 1/\sqrt{p}).$$

(c) *If $X_p(\xi)$ denotes the Mumford curve associated to $\Gamma_p(\xi)$, then the rigid analytic curve $X_p(\xi)^{rig}$ is obtained from the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$ with the following pair-wise identifications: for every $\gamma \in \widetilde{S}$,*

$$\gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \mathbb{B}^-(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma, 1/\sqrt{p})\right) = \mathbb{B}^+(\tilde{\alpha}_{\gamma^{-1}}, 1/\sqrt{p}),$$

$$\gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \smallsetminus \mathbb{B}^+(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma, 1/\sqrt{p})\right) = \mathbb{B}^-(\tilde{\alpha}_{\gamma^{-1}}, 1/\sqrt{p}),$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}_\gamma$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_{\gamma^{-1}}$ are defined as the reduction in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of the fixed points of the transformations $\{\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\}$.

(d) *The stable reduction-graph of $X_p(\xi)$ is the open subtree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(1)} \smallsetminus \{v_0^{(1)}, \ldots, v_{p-1}^{(1)}, v_\infty^{(1)}\}$ of $\mathcal{T}_p$ via the pair-wise identifications of the $p+1$ oriented edges given by $\gamma e_{\widetilde{\alpha}_\gamma} = -e_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{\gamma^{-1}}}$, for every $\gamma \in \widetilde{S}$.*



Figure 1: Open subtree $\mathcal{T}_p^{(1)} \smallsetminus \{v_0^{(1)}, \ldots, v_{p-1}^{(1)}, v_\infty^{(1)}\}$ of $\mathcal{T}_p$ before pair-wise identification

Proof. After Theorem 3.5 we know that $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is a Schottky group. For $D = 2, 3$, by Proposition 3.6 it has rank $(p+1)/2$, and for $D = 5, 13$, if we assume Conjecture 3.7 then $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ also has rank $(p+1)/2$. Therefore (a) follows. Now we are in the hypothesis of Proposition 3.9 and (b) follows. By the theory of Mumford curves recalled in Section 1, there exists a projective curve $X_p(\xi)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that its rigidification is

$$\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{F}_p(\xi) \simeq \Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{H}_p \simeq X_p(\xi)^{rig},$$

and by the definition of good fundamental domain (cf. Definition 1.17 (iii)) this gives the identifications of (c).

Finally applying the equivariant reduction map of Theorem 1.9 we find that the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$ together with its identifications reduce to the rose-graph with $(p+1)/2$ petals, as described in (d) (see Figure 2). □

Corollary 3.11. *Consider the Shimura curve $X(Dp, N)$ of discriminant Dp, with $(D, N) = 1$ and $p \nmid DN$, associated to an Eichler order of level N in the indefinite quaternion algebra of discriminant Dp. Let H be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subset H$ be an Eichler order of level N.*

If $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.10*, then there exists a Mumford curve of genus $(p+1)/2$ covering the p-adic rigid Shimura curve $(X(D, N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{rig}$ and the degree of the cover is equal to the order of the group $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$.*



Figure 2: Stable reduction-graph of the Mumford curve $X_p(\xi)$

Proof. After applying Theorem 3.5 we find a Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ which is a normal subgroup of Γ_p of index $\#\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi) = \#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$. Therefore, there exists a rigid analytic curve isomorphic to $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$ which is a finite quotient of the rigidification of the Mumford curve $X_p(\xi)$ described in Theorem 3.10.

Moreover, by Theorem 1.10 we have that $(X(D,N)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p)^{rig} \simeq \Gamma_p\backslash(\mathcal{H}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbb{Q}_{p2})$, so the statement of this corollary follows. □

Remarks 3.12 (About the conditions of Theorem 3.10)**.**

(1) Condition (*ii*) of Theorem 3.10 is satisfied by all the definite Eichler orders $\mathcal{O}_H(N)$ in Table 1.

(2) If one wants to do explicit computations, condition (*iii*) of Theorem 3.10 is easy to check in each case once p is fixed. Actually, once the finite set of generators for the group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ is computed, one only needs to check whether any of them has null trace.

(3) Note that when condition (*iii*) of Theorem 3.10 is not satisfied, it is still possible to find a Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)^\star \subseteq \Gamma_p(\xi)$, avoiding the 2-torsion, together with an explicit system of generators. This is shown in [19, Ch. IX] in the case $D = 2, N = 1$ and can be extended to all the cases considered in the present work. Using Magma, we also computed generators for the group $\Gamma_p(\xi)^\star$ for primes $p \leq 2000$ in all the cases of Table 1, and checked that its rank is always p. Therefore we would find a Mumford curve of genus p covering the p-adic Shimura curve.

4 Application of the method to bad reduction of Shimura curves

4.1 Reduction-graphs with lengths

For the definitions of *admissible curve* and *reduction-graph with lengths* we refer the reader to Jordan and Livné's article [21]. The Drinfel'd model $\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of the Shimura curve $X(Dp,N)$, as defined in Section 1, is then an admissible curve, and its reduction-graph $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ is a graph with lengths.

As explained in the introduction, in [15] the authors developed an algorithm which computes the reduction-graphs with lengths at p of Shimura curves $X(Dp,N)$ associated to any Eichler order of level N contained in an indefinite quaternion algebra of discriminant Dp. Thus this

problem is completely solved for all Shimura curves. Nevertheless, in the present section, we give general formulas describing the reduction-graphs with lengths for those families of Shimura curves satisfying Corollary 3.11, that is, families of Shimura curves $X(Dp, N)$ such that the one-sided ideal class number $h(D, N)$ is equal to 1, except for the families of Shimura curves $X(2p, 5)$ and $X(7p, 1)$ (for which the quaternion ξ required in Theorem 3.10 does not exist). Actually, after Theorem 3.10, we can recover these formulas by the explicit knowledge of the Mumford curves covering the p-adic Shimura curves considered. We are led to study the two following coverings:

(i) $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ of degree $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$.

(ii) $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_p \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ of degree 2.

The reduction-graph with lengths $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ is, by the way, the reduction-graph of an integral model of the Atkin-Lehner quotient of $X(Dp, N)$ associated to the involution of norm p.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph and let Γ be a group acting on the left on $\mathcal{G}$. We define the *length* of a vertex $[v] \in \mathrm{Ver}(\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{G})$ (resp. an edge $[e] \in \mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{G})$) as the cardinality of the stabiliser Γ_v of a representative $v \in \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{G})$ (resp. the stabiliser Γ_e of a representative $e \in \mathrm{Ed}(\mathcal{G})$) inside the group Γ. We denote it by $\ell([v])$ (resp. $\ell([e])$).

For every $n \geq 1$, we define the integer

$$c_n := \#\{[e] \in \mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{G}) \mid \ell([e]) = n\}.$$

Finally, given a graph $\mathcal{G}$ and a vertex $v \in \mathrm{Ver}(\mathcal{G})$, we denote by $\mathrm{Star}(v)$ the subset of oriented edges $e \in \mathrm{Ed}(\mathcal{G})$ with origin in v.

If Γ is a group acting on the left on a graph $\mathcal{G}$, then there a natural surjective map $\mathrm{Star}(v) \to \mathrm{Star}([v])$. Therefore, applying the orbit-stabilizer theorem, is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a graph and let Γ be a group acting on the left on $\mathcal{G}$. Take $v \in \mathrm{Ed}(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in \mathrm{Star}(v)$. Then $\ell([e])$ divides $\ell([v])$ and the following formula holds:*

$$\#\mathrm{Star}(v) = \sum_{[e]\in\mathrm{Star}([v])} \frac{\ell([v])}{\ell([e])}.$$

For the sake of exposition, we fix from now on a presentation of the definite quaternion algebra $H = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. We shall take in each case the one considered in Table 1. Then for every prime integer p such that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$, we can take the following p-adic matrix immersion

$$\Phi_p : \qquad H = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{a})) = \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \quad \longmapsto \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_1\sqrt{a} & x_2 + x_3\sqrt{a} \\ b(x_2 - x_3\sqrt{a}) & x_0 - x_1\sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 4.3. More generally, once a matrix immersion $\Phi_p : H \to \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{a}))$ is fixed, we can always change the presentation of H in order to have $\Phi_p(H) \subseteq \mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, that is, we can always choose integers a, b such that the Hilbert symbol is $(a, b)_\ell = -1$ for every $\ell \mid D$ and $(a, b)_\ell = 1$ for every $\ell \nmid D$, and such that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ (cf. [30]).

Proposition 4.4. *Let B be an indefinite quaternion algebra of discriminant Dp and let $\mathcal{O}_B(N) \subseteq B$ be an Eichler order of level N. Let $X(Dp, N)$ be the Shimura curve associated to B and $\mathcal{O}_B(N)$. Let H be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant D and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_H(N) \subseteq H$ be an Eichler order of level N. Assume that $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem* 3.10*. Then the reduction-graph with lengths $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ has one vertex of length $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$, and the number of edges is described in Table* 2*.*

D	N	c_1	c_2	c_3
2	1	$\frac{1}{12}\left(p-9-4\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)$	1	$1+\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)$
	3	$\frac{1}{3}\left(p-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)$	0	$1+\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)$
	9	$p+1$	0	0
	11	$p+1$	0	0
3	1	$\frac{1}{6}\left(p-6-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)$	2	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)$
	2	$\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$	2	0
	4	$p+1$	0	0
5	1	$\frac{1}{3}\left(p-\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right)$	0	$1+\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$
	2	$p+1$	0	0
13	1	$p+1$	0	0

Table 2: Number of edges with lengths of the graph $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$

Proof. Since the graph $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ has 1 vertex of length 1 (cf. Theorem 3.10), we have that the quotient graph

$$\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p \simeq (\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p)/(\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi))$$

has only 1 vertex $[v^0]$ of length $\ell([v^0]) = \#\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi) = \#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$. After Theorem 3.10 (d), we know that the reduction-graph $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ consists of $p+1$ oriented edges pair-wise identified.

Now we only need to describe the action of $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times \simeq \Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi)$ on this graph. Note that the fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ in $\mathcal{T}_p$ (which is by definition the reduction of a good fundamental domain in $\mathcal{H}_p$) is the open tree

$$\mathcal{T}_p^{(1)} \smallsetminus \{v_a^{(1)} \mid a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \simeq \mathrm{Star}(v^0),$$

so we can identify each of its open edges with the $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ by fixing a bijection $\delta : \mathrm{Star}(v^0) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$. This gives rise to the following action on the edges:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathrm{Star}(v^0) & \to & \mathrm{Star}(v^0) \\ (\gamma, e) & \mapsto & \mathrm{red}(\gamma) \cdot \delta(e) \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{red} : \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is the natural projection. Now, since $\Phi_p(\mathcal{O}^\times)/\mathbb{Z}^\times \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, in order to study the action of $\Phi_p(\mathcal{O}^\times)/\mathbb{Z}^\times$ on $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ we need to study the fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of these finite order transformations.

Since, by Lemma 4.2 the length $\ell([e])$ of an edge $[e] \in \mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p)$ has to divide $\ell([v^0]) = \#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$, by Table 1 we know that $\ell([e]) \in \{1,2,3,4,6,12\}$. Here we need to separate cases:

(i) $(D,N) = (2,9),(2,11),(3,4),(5,2)$ and $(13,1)$. In these cases we have that $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = 1$, so $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ is the stable reduction-graph $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ with $(p+1)/2$ edges of length 1.

(ii) $D = 2, N = 1$. In this case we have

$$\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = \left\{1, i, j, k, \frac{1 \pm i \pm j \pm k}{2}\right\}$$

and there are transformations of order 2 and of order 3. The order 2 transformations are

$$\Phi_p(i) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_p(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_p(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the order 3 transformations are

$$\Phi_p\left(\frac{1 \pm i + j + k}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \pm \sqrt{-1} & 1 + \sqrt{-1} \\ -1 + \sqrt{-1} & 1 \mp \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_p\left(\frac{1 + i \pm j + k}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{-1} & \pm 1 + \sqrt{-1} \\ \mp 1 + \sqrt{-1} & 1 - \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_p\left(\frac{1 + i + j \pm k}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{-1} & 1 \pm \sqrt{-1} \\ -1 \mp \sqrt{-1} & 1 - \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_p\left(\frac{1 \pm i - j \mp k}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \pm \sqrt{-1} & -1 \mp \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \mp \sqrt{-1} & 1 \mp \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Studying the fixed points of each transformation in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ one can see that, under the restriction that $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ (which is given by the chosen presentation for the algebra), each order 2 transformation has always 2 fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and that an order 3 transformation has 2 fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and 0 fixed points if $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. One also checks that there is no point fixed at the same time by an order 2 transformation and an order 3 transformation. From this we conclude that $c_3 = 2$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $c_3 = 0$ if $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Next, one can see that the three unoriented edges of $\Gamma_p(2)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ corresponding to the 6 fixed points of order 2 transformations are all identified by the transformations of order 3. Thus we are left with only one edge $[y]$ of length 2. Since c_2 counts the number of oriented edges of order 2, we now could have either $c_2 = 2$ (if $[e] \neq [\overline{e}]$) or $c_2 = 1$ (if $[e] = [\overline{e}]$). An easy computation shows that we are in the second case. Thus $c_2 = 1$. Finally, by Lemma 4.2, we obtain $p + 1 = 12c_1 + 6c_2 + 4c_3$. So we have that if $c_3 = 2$ then $c_1 = \frac{p-13}{12}$ and if $c_3 = 0$ then $c_1 = \frac{p-5}{12}$.

(iii) $D = 2, N = 3$. In this case, since $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = 3$, we have $c_2 = 0$. Lemma 4.2 gives $p + 1 = 3c_1 + c_3$. Again, after studying the order 3 transformations we can see that $c_3 = 2$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $c_3 = 0$ if $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Thus $c_1 = \frac{p-1}{3}$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $c_3 = \frac{p+1}{3}$ if $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$.

(iv) $D = 3, N = 1$. In this case $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = 6$. As before, one can see that the points fixed by order 2 transformations are never fixed by order 3 transformations. Lemma 4.2 gives $p + 1 = 6c_1 + 3c_2 + 2c_1$. The order 3 transformations give $c_3 = 1$ when $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $c_3 = 0$ when $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ and the order 2 transformations give again some unoriented edges that the order 3 transformations take to the same class $[e] \in \mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma_p(2)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p)$. In this case $c_2 = 2$, because $[e] \neq [\overline{e}]$.

(v) $D = 3, N = 2$. In this case, $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = 2$, so $c_3 = 0$. The order 2 transformation gives two oriented edges that are not in the same class, so $c_2 = 2$. Finally, Lemma 4.2 gives $p + 1 = 2c_1 + c_2$, so $c_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

(vi) $D = 5, N = 1$. In this case $\#\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times = 3$, so $c_2 = 0$. Similar computations as in the previous cases give $c_3 = 2$ and $c_1 = (p-1)/3$ when $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and $c_3 = 0$ and $c_1 = (p+1)/3$ when $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$.

□

Remark 4.5. We have already noted that we have restricted ourselves to some (infinite) set of primes p such that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$, with $a = -1$ when $D = 2, 3$, or $a = -2$ when $D = 5, 13$. This means that we are assuming that $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ when $D = 2, 3$ and $p \equiv 1, 3 \bmod 8$ when $D = 5, 13$. In Section 4.3 we will show that, at least in the cases $(D, N) = (2, 1), (3, 1)$ the condition $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ is not restrictive, since the primes satisfying this also satisfy that

$$t_\xi(p) := \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = 0,\, \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O}\} = 0,$$

which is the null-trace condition of Theorem 3.10.

Remark 4.6. In the cases with $N = 1$, the formulas in Table 2 coincide with the ones obtained by Kurihara in [22], even though the method for proving them is substantially different.

4.2 Genus formulas

After Corollary 3.11 we have constructed, for the orders $\mathcal{O}$ together with the quaternions ξ of Table 1, and for prime integers p satisfying the null-trace condition of Definition 3.4, a covering $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{H}_p \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$ of degree $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$, where the quotient $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$ is algebraisable and has genus $(p+1)/2$. Therefore, following [19] we can apply the Riemann-Hurwitz formula in order to compute the genus of the algebraic curve $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$:

$$p - 1 = \#(\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times)(2g - 2) + \sum_{d \mid \#(\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times)} w_d,$$

where w_d is the number of points on $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$ which are fixed by some transformation in Γ_p of order $d > 1$.

The formulas we obtain in the following result generalise those computed in [19] relative to the family of Shimura curves $X(2p, 1)$, with $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. We refer the reader also to [35], in which the author explains the explicit relations of these computations with the family of Shimura curves $X(2p, 1)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 3.10*, the genus of the graph* $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ *is expressed in Table* 3*, where*

(*i*) $\delta_p(2, 1) := w_2^{(1)} + w_2^{(2)} + w_2^{(3)}$, *with*

$$w_2^{(i)} := \frac{1}{2}\#\{(\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)_H \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\, a_i = 0\},\ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(*ii*) $\delta_p(3, 1) := w_2^{(1)} + w_2^{(2)} + w_2^{(3)}$, *with*

$$w_2^{(1)} := \frac{1}{2}\#\{\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)_H \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\, a_1 = 0\},$$

$$w_2^{(2)} := \frac{1}{2}\#\{\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)_H \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\ a_1 + 3a_2 = 0\},$$

$$w_2^{(3)} := \frac{1}{2}\#\{\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)_H \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p, \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\ a_1 - 3a_2 = 0\}.$$

(iii) $\delta_p(3,2) := \frac{1}{2}\#\{\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)_H \in \mathcal{O} \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \xi\mathcal{O},\ a_1 - 3a_2 = 0\}.$

D	N	genus
2	1	$\frac{1}{24}\left(p + 23 - \delta_p(2,1) - 8\left(1 - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)\right)$
	3	$\frac{1}{6}\left(p + 5 - 2\left(1 - \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right)\right)$
	9	$(p+1)/2$
	11	$(p+1)/2$
3	1	$\frac{1}{12}\left(p + 11 - \delta_p(3,1) - 2\left(1 - \left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right)\right)$
	2	$\frac{1}{4}\left(p + 3 - \delta_p(3,2)\right)$
	4	$(p+1)/2$
5	1	$\frac{1}{6}\left(p + 5 - 2\left(1 - \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right)\right)$
	2	$(p+1)/2$
13	1	$(p+1)/2$

Table 3: Genus of $\Gamma_p \backslash \mathcal{T}_p$

Proof. For the case $D = 2$, $N = 1$, we refer the reader to [19, Ch. IX]. We will prove here another case, since all cases are computed in a similar way. We consider the case $D = 3$, and $N = 1$. That is the one for which the group $\Phi_p(\mathcal{O}^\times)/\mathbb{Z}^\times$ is the biggest among the ones we are considering. For each order 2 transformation $u_2^{(i)} \in \Phi_p(\mathcal{O}^\times)/\mathbb{Z}^\times$, we have to compute the cardinality

$$w_2^{(i)} := \#\{[z] \in \Gamma_p \backslash \mathcal{T}_p \mid\ u_2^{(i)} z = \gamma z,\ \gamma \in \Gamma_p(2)\}.$$

Let us study each unit of order 2 separately.

- $u_2^{(1)} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The equation $uz = \gamma z$, with $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_p(2)$ gives

$$z = -\frac{A+D}{2C} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(\frac{A+D}{2})^2 - BC}}{C},$$

so $(\frac{A+D}{2})^2 - BC = a_0 + 3(a_2^2 + a_3^2) = p - a_1^2$, which does not belong to $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times 2}$ if and only if $a_1 = 0$. Therefore in this case we obtain that $w_2^{(1)}$ is equal to 2 times the number of

generators α of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ such that the coordinates $(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)_H$ in the basis of $H=\left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ have $a_1=0$, and this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4}\cdot 2\cdot \#\{\alpha=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)_H\in\mathcal{O}\mid \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha)=p,\alpha\equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\ a_1=0\},$$

since we have to exclude for each quaternion α in this set, its conjugated $\overline{\alpha}$, its opposite $-\alpha$ and the opposite of its conjugated $-\overline{\alpha}$.

- $u_2^{(2)}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} & \frac{1}{2}\\ -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}$. Analogous arguments show that the number $w_2^{(2)}$ is equal to 2 times the number of generators $\alpha=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)_H$ of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ with $a_1+3a_2=0$.
- $u_2^{(3)}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}$. Similar computations in this case give that $w_2^{(3)}$ is equal to 2 times the number of generators $\alpha=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)_H$ of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ with $a_1-3a_2=0$.

For the order 3 transformations $u_3^{(i)}\in\Phi_p(\mathcal{O}^\times)/\mathbb{Z}^\times$, $i=1,2$, we have to compute the value

$$w_3^{(i)}:=\{[z]\in\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p\mid uz=z\}$$

in a similar way. This is $1-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)$ in each case, and the formula is then completed for this case. □

Corollary 4.8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 3.10*, the reduction-graph with lengths* $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ *is described by the following properties:*

(a) *It consists of two vertices and* $c_1+c_2+c_3$ *unoriented edges joining them, with the values* c_1,c_2,c_3 *given in Table* 2*.*

(b) *Its genus is* $g_+=c_1+c_2+c_3-1$*.*

(c) *The number of edges with lengths is obtained by multiplying by* 2*, in each case, the formulas of Table* 2*.*

Proof. The curve $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$ is a degree 2 covering of $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{H}_p$, so it is immediate to see that

$$g_+=\#\{e\in\mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p)\mid -e\neq e\}-1+\#\{e\in\mathrm{Ed}(\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p)\mid -e=e\}=c_1+c_2+c_3-1.$$

The rest of the statement is then also clear. □

Remark 4.9. The genus g_+ is actually the genus of the special fibre of the Drinfel'd model $\mathcal{X}(Dp,N)$ of the considered Shimura curves. Indeed, the reader can check that this coincides with the usual genus formula for the Shimura curve $X(Dp,N)$, i.e.

$$g(X(Dp,N))=1+\frac{N}{12}\prod_{\ell|Dp}(\ell-1)\prod_{\ell|N}\left(\frac{\ell+1}{\ell}\right)-\frac{1}{4}e_2(D,N)-\frac{1}{3}e_3(D,N),$$

where

$$e_2(Dp,N):=\begin{cases}\prod_{\ell|Dp}\left(1-\left(\frac{-4}{\ell}\right)\right)\prod_{\ell|N}\left(1+\left(\frac{-4}{\ell}\right)\right) & \text{if } 4\nmid N,\\ 0 & \text{if } 4\mid N,\end{cases}$$

$$e_3(Dp,N):=\begin{cases}\prod_{\ell|Dp}\left(1-\left(\frac{-3}{\ell}\right)\right)\prod_{\ell|N}\left(1+\left(\frac{-3}{\ell}\right)\right) & \text{if } 9\nmid N,\\ 0 & \text{if } 9\mid N.\end{cases}$$

(cf. [33]).

4.3 The null-trace condition

Here we show how one can make more explicit some conditions about the considered generators of the Schottky groups. We will take a close look to the case $D = 3$ and $N = 1$, and we will rewrite the null-trace condition (cf. condition (*iii*) of Theorem 3.10) in a more amenable and arithmetic way.

Lemma 4.10. *A quaternion* $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in H = \left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ *belongs to the finite set*

$$A := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\ \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p\}$$

if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

(*i*) $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *for every* $0 \leq i \leq 3$,

(*ii*) $a_0^2 + a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 + 3a_3^2 = p$,

(*iii*) $a_0 + a_3 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, $a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$.

Proof. Let $H = \left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant $D = 3$ and let us consider the maximal order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ with basis $\{1, i, \lambda, \mu\}$, where $\lambda := (i+j)/2$ and $\mu := (1+k)/2$. If we take $\alpha = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in A$ and we express it in the integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$, namely $\alpha = x + yi + z\lambda + t\mu$, we obtain the following relations:

$$a_0 = x + t/2, \quad a_1 = y + z/2, \quad a_2 = z/2, \quad a_3 = t/2.$$

The condition $\alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ tells us that $z \equiv t \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, so $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Moreover we also have $a_0 + a_3 = x + t \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ and $a_1 + a_2 = y + z \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, so we find the conditions of the statement on the coefficients a_i. The converse is clearly true. □

Proposition 4.11. *Let* H *be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant* $D = 3$ *and let* $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ *be a maximal order. With the notations as in Theorem* 3.5 *we have that, if* $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, *then*

$$t_2(p) := \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O},\ \mathrm{Nm}(\alpha) = p,\ \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha) = 0\} = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a pure quaternion $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ of norm p such that $\alpha \equiv 1 \bmod 2\mathcal{O}$. Then the previous conditions on the coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ translate into

$$a_3 \equiv 1 \bmod 2, \quad a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \quad a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 + 3a_3^2 = p.$$

If we now reduce modulo 4 we obtain

$$p = a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 + 3a_3^2 \equiv 4a_2^2 + 3 \equiv 3 \bmod 4.$$

□

In an analogous way one can prove also the following proposition for the order of Hurwitz quaternions (cf. [19, Ch. IX]).

Proposition 4.12. *Let* H *be the definite quaternion algebra of discriminant* $D = 2$ *and let* $\mathcal{O}$ *be a maximal order in* H. *If* $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, *then* $t_2(p) = 0$.

Remark 4.13. After Lemma 4.10 we can rewrite the quantity $\delta_p(3,1)$ arising in the genus formula of Proposition 4.7 as $\delta_p(3,1) := w_2^{(1)} + w_2^{(2)} + w_2^{(3)}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^{(1)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_2,a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a_0^2 + 3(a_2^2+a_3^2) = p, \\ &\qquad\qquad a_0 + a_3 \equiv 1 \bmod 2,\ a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}, \\ w_2^{(2)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid a_0^2 + a_1^2 + 3(a_2^2+a_3^2) = p, \\ &\qquad\qquad a_0 + a_3 \equiv 1 \bmod 2,\ a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2,\ a_1 + 3a_2 = 0\}, \\ w_2^{(3)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid a_0^2 + a_1^2 + 3(a_2^2+a_3^2) = p, \\ &\qquad\qquad a_0 + a_3 \equiv 1 (\bmod 2),\ a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2,\ a_1 - 3a_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The same approach works also for the case $D = 2$ and $N = 1$ (as worked out in [19, Ch. IX]). Actually $\delta_p(2,1) := w_2^{(1)} + w_2^{(2)} + w_2^{(3)}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^{(1)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_2,a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a_0^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = p,\ a_0 \equiv 1; \bmod 2,\ a_2 \equiv a_3 \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}, \\ w_2^{(2)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_1,a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_3^2 = p,\ a_0 \equiv 1; \bmod 2,\ a_1 \equiv a_3 \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}, \\ w_2^{(3)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\#\{(a_0,a_1,a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 = p,\ a_0 \equiv 1; \bmod 2,\ a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Examples: computation of reduction-graphs

In the present section we describe an algorithm that we have implemented in Magma, in order to obtain explicit examples illustrating our method [1]. We will consider, as a concrete example, the family of Shimura curves of discriminant $D_B = 3p$ and level $N = 2$.

First we need to compute the definite quaternion algebra $H = \left(\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ of discriminant $D = 3$, an integral basis for an Eichler order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ of level $N = 2$, and an element $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$, as requested by Theorem 3.5.

```
> D := 3; N:=2;
> H, O := Data(D,N);
> NmH, NmO := Normic_form(H,O);
> xi := choose_xi(O);
> xi;
-1/2 - 1/2*I - 1/2*J + 1/2*K
```

We fix then the prime $p = 13$. We now see that this prime satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to the chosen ξ. Therefore, by Theorem 3.10, we know that $\Gamma_{13}(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}ij)$ is a Schottky group and that the stable reduction-graph of the associated Mumford curve will be a graph obtained via pair-wise identification of the boundary edges of a graph, consisting of one vertex and $p + 1 = 14$ edges (see Figure 3).

In order to compute these identifications, as described in Theorem 3.10, we first need to compute a system of generators for the Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$. These are first computed as quaternions of H and then as p-adic matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that there are $(p+1)/2 = 7$ of

[1] The Magma code described can be found on the second author's webpage `piermarcomilione.wordpress.com`.



Figure 3: Reduction of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{13}(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}ij)$

them, as predicted by Proposition 3.6. The symbol `i` appearing in the matrix description below is the 13-adic number $\sqrt{-1}$.

```
> p := 13;
> gens_xi, gens_Schottky := generators_Gammas(H,O,p,xi);
> gens_xi;
[ -3 + 1/2*I - 1/2*J + K, -3 - 1/2*I + 1/2*J + K, -3 + I - J,
-1 + 2*K, -1 + 3/2*I - 3/2*J + K, -1 - 3/2*I + 3/2*J + K, -1 - 3*I - J ]
> gens_Schottky;
[
    [  1/2*(i - 6) 1/2*(2*i - 1)]
    [1/2*(6*i + 3)  1/2*(-i - 6)],

    [ 1/2*(-i - 6) 1/2*(2*i + 1)]
    [1/2*(6*i - 3)   1/2*(i - 6)],

    [ i - 3     -1]
    [     3 -i - 3],

    [ -1 2*i]
    [6*i  -1],

    [ 1/2*(3*i - 2)  1/2*(2*i - 3)]
    [ 1/2*(6*i + 9) 1/2*(-3*i - 2)],

    [1/2*(-3*i - 2)  1/2*(2*i + 3)]
    [ 1/2*(6*i - 9)  1/2*(3*i - 2)],

    [-3*i - 1       -1]
    [       3  3*i - 1]
]
```

We can now compute the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_p$ for the action of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$. The next function `fundamental_domain` gives as output the radii $1/\sqrt{p}$ of the balls constituting the

boundary of the fundamental domain, as well as the pair-wise identification of the boundary of these balls (cf. Theorem 3.10). This is done by reducing modulo p the fixed points of the transformations γ, γ^{-1} for all the generators γ previously computed. In the output of this function, each ball is identified by the coordinates of its center, which is a point in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

```
> radius, pairing := fundamental_domain(H,O,p,xi,gens_xi);
> radius;
0.27735009811261456100917086672 8
> pairing;
[
    <( 9  1), (11  1)>,
    <( 1  1), ( 2  1)>,
    <( 5  1), ( 7  1)>,
    <( 3  1), (10  1)>,
    <( 8  1), ( 1  0)>,
    <( 0  1), ( 6  1)>,
    <( 4  1), (12  1)>
]
```

In figure 4 we represent this p-adic fundamental domain, where one has to read the labels of the balls as a notation for the pair-wise identifications given in `pairing`: the interior (resp. exterior) of the ball X is identified with the exterior (resp. interior) of the ball X^{-1}. Finally, in figure 5, we can see the reduction-graph of the Mumford curve associate to $\Gamma_p(\xi)$, which is obtained by reduction of the rigid analytic variety $\Gamma_p(\xi)\backslash\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$.



Figure 4: Fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$ for the action of $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ in $\mathcal{H}_p$

Now we show how we compute the reduction-graph with lengths $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$. It is important to remark that, even if one could easily compute this graph with its lengths, thanks to the formulas of Table 2 and Table 3, we use here an alternative algorithm we designed in order to obtain the desired graph. Indeed, we do it by letting act the Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ on the quotient $(\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times)\backslash\mathcal{T}_p = (\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi))\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$.

Therefore we first need to compute the quotient of the reduction of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$ (see Figure 3) by the action of the finite group $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$. This is done by using the following function `UnitsAction`.

```
classes := UnitsAction(H,O,p);
```

```
> classes;
[ { ( 8  1), ( 0  1) },
  { ( 1  1), (11  1) },
  { ( 2  1), ( 9  1) },
  { (10  1), ( 3  1) },
  { (12  1), ( 4  1) },
  { ( 5  1) },
  { ( 6  1), ( 1  0) },
  { ( 7  1) } ]
```

For example, we can see that the oriented edge $e_8^{(1)}$ is identified with $e_0^{(1)}$, and that the edge $e_5^{(1)}$ remains alone in its class (for the moment).



Figure 5: Stable reduction-graph of the Mumford curve associated to $\Gamma_{13}(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}ij)$



Figure 6: Reduction-graphs with lengths $\Gamma_{13}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{13}$ and $\Gamma_{13,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{13}$ for $X(3 \cdot 13, 2)$

Finally, with the function `DescriptionGraph` we compute the reduction-graph with lengths $\Gamma_{13}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{13}$, which corresponds to the special fibre at 13 of the Atkin-Lehner quotient, associated to the prime 13, of the Shimura curve $X(3 \cdot 13, 2)$.

```
aller_retour, loops := DescriptionGraph(H,O,p,xi,gens_xi);
> aller_retour;
```

```
[
    <{ (10  1),( 3  1) }, 1>,
    <{ (12  1), ( 4  1) }, 1>
]
> loops;
[
    <{ ( 8  1), ( 6  1), ( 0  1), ( 1  0) }, 1>,
    <{ ( 2  1), ( 1  1), (11  1), ( 9  1) }, 1>,
    <{ ( 7  1), ( 5  1) }, 2>
]
```

We can see that this graph has 2 *aller-retour* edges (i.e. edges e such that $-e = e$) of length 1 and 1 *loop* of length 2 (obtained from the identification of $e_5^{(1)}$ with $-e_7^{(1)}$). In figure 6 we represent the reduction-graphs $\Gamma_{13}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{13}$ and $\Gamma_{13,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{13}$ associated to the Shimura curve $X(3p, 2)$ at the prime $p = 13$.

To conclude, we show the final reduction-graphs in another example: in Figure 7 the reduction-graphs $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ and $\Gamma_{p,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$ when $D = 3$, $N = 1$ and $p = 61$, are represented.



Figure 7: Reduction-graphs with lengths $\Gamma_{61}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{61}$ and $\Gamma_{61,+}\backslash\mathcal{T}_{61}$ for $X(3 \cdot 61, 1)$

Appendix: Description of the functions used in the algorithm

Main functions

intrinsic generators_Gamma (H :: AlgQuat, O :: AlgQuatOrd, p :: RngIntElt, xi :: AlgQuatElt) − > SeqEnum, SeqEnum

Given an Eichler order $\mathcal{O}$ inside a definite quaternion algebra H with one-sided ideal class number 1, an odd prime integer p, and a quaternion $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfying the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$, this functions computes a free free system of generators for the Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)^\star \subseteq \Gamma_p(\xi)$ (cf. Remark 3.12). The outputs is:

- The list of generators in quaternionic form, given as elements of H.
- The list of generators in matrix form, given as elements of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

When p satisfies the null-trace condition with respect to $\xi\mathcal{O}$, we have $\Gamma_p(\xi)^\star = \Gamma_p(\xi)$ and the output is a free system of generators for the Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$.

intrinsic fundamental_domain (H :: AlgQuat, O :: AlgQuatOrd, p :: RngIntElt, xi :: AlgQuatElt, gens_xi :: SeqEnum) -> FldReElt, SeqEnum

This function computes a good fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_p$ with respect to the generators of the Schottky group $\Gamma_p(\xi)$ computed by the previous function. The output is:

- The radii $1/\sqrt{p}$ of the p-adic balls constituting the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_p(\xi)$.
- The pair-wise identifications of the boundary of these balls.

Each ball is identified with its center, which is a point in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

intrinsic DescriptionGraph (H :: AlgQuat, O :: AlgQuatOrd, p :: RngIntElt, xi :: AlgQuatElt, gens_xi :: SeqEnum) -> SeqEnum, SeqEnum

This function computes the reduction-graph with lengths $\Gamma_p\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$. The output is:

- The list of *aller-retour* edges, together with their lengths.
- The list of loops, together with their lengths.

Each loop and each*aller-retour* edge is presented as a class of edges of the quotient graph $(\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi))/\mathcal{T}_p$.

Auxiliar functions

intrinsic Data (D :: RngIntElt, N :: RngIntElt) -> AlgQuat, AlgQuatOrd

This function determines a basis for the definite quaternion algebra H of discriminant D and a basis for an Eichler order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$ of level N.

intrinsic choose_xi (O :: AlgQuatOrd) -> AlgQuatElt

Given an definite Eichler order with ideal class number 1, this functions finds a quaternion $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $2 \in \xi\mathcal{O}$, and which satisfies the right-unit property in $\mathcal{O}$.

intrinsic Normic_form (H :: AlgQuat, O :: AlgQuatOrd) -> RngMPolElt, RngMPolElt

This function computes the normic forms associated to a given definite quaternion algebra H and an Eichler order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H$, respectively.

intrinsic UnitsAction (H :: AlgQuat, O :: AlgQuatOrd, p :: RngIntElt) -> SeqEnum, SeqEnum

This function computes the action of the unit group $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\times$ on the fundamental domain computed by the function `fundamental_domain`. That is, it computes the quotient $(\Gamma_p/\Gamma_p(\xi))\backslash\mathcal{T}_p$.

References

[1] A. Alaca, S. Alaca, M. F. Lemire, K. S. Williams, *Nineteen quaternary quadratic forms*, Acta Arithmetica **130** (2007), no. 3, 277– 310.

[2] M. Alsina, P. Bayer, *Quaternion orders, quadratic forms, and Shimura curves*, CRM Monograph Series vol. 22 (2004), AMS.

[3] J. F. Boutot, H. Carayol, *Uniformisation p-adique des courbes de Shimura: les théorèmes de Čerednik et de Drinfeld*, Astérisque **196-197** (1991), 45– 158.

[4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. (1997), no. 24, 235– 265.

[5] S.Bosch, U. Güntzer, R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis: a systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften vol. 261 (1984), Springer.

[6] S. Bosch, *Lectures on formal and rigid geometry*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics vol. 2105 (2014), Springer.

[7] P. Bayer, A. Travesa, *Uniformizing functions for certain Shimura curves, in the case* $D = 6$, Acta Arithmetica **126** (2007), 315– 339.

[8] P. Bayer, A. Travesa, *On local constants associated to arithmetical automorphic functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Quaterly. Special Issue: In honor of Jean-Pierre Serre (2008), no. 1, 1107– 1132.

[9] I. V. Cerednik, *Uniformization of algebraic curves by discrete aithmetic subgroups of* $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k_w)$ *with compact quotients*, Math. USSR Sbornik **29** (1976), no. 1 55– 78.

[10] H. Darmon, R. Pollack, *The efficient calculation of Stark-Heegner points via overconvergent modular symbols*, Israel J. Math. **153** (2006), 319– 354.

[11] V. G. Drinfeld, *Coverings of p-adic symmetric regions*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications **10** (1976), no. 2, 107– 115.

[12] S. Dasgupta, J. Teitelbaum, *The p-adic upper half-plane*, Chapter in p-adic Geometry. Lectures from the 2007 Arizona Winter School vol. 45 (2007), 65– 122.

[13] M. Eichler, *Allgemeine Kongruenzklasseneinteilungen der Ideale einfachen Algebren über algebraischen Zahlkörpen und ihre L-Reihen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **179** (1938), 227– 251.

[14] M. Eichler, *Über die Idealklassenzahl total definiter Quaternionenalgebren*, Math. Z. **43** (1938), no. 1, 102– 109.

[15] C. Franc, M. Masdeu, *Computing fundamental domains for the Bruhat-Tits tree for* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*, p-adic automorphic forms, and the canonical embedding of Shimura curves*, LSM Journal of Computation in Mathematics **17** (2014), no. 01, 1– 23.

[16] L. Gerritzen, *Zur nichtarquimedischen Uniformisierung von Kurven*, Math. Ann. **210** (1974), 321–337.

[17] M. Greenberg, *Heegner points computations via numerical p-adic integration*, Algorithmic Number Theory (2006), 361– 376.

[18] M. Greenberg, *Computing Heegner points arising from Shimura curve parametrizations*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings **8** (2009).

[19] L. Gerritzen, M. van der Put, *Schottky groups and Mumford curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 817 (1980), Springer.

[20] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Zahlentheorie der Quaternionen*, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse **1896** (1896), 313– 340.

[21] B. W. Jordan, R. A. Livné, *Local diophantine properties of Shimura curves*, Math. Ann. **270** (1984), no. 2, 235– 248.

[22] A. Kurihara, *On some examples of equations defining Shimura curves and the Mumford uniformization*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math **25** (1979), no. 3, 277– 300.

[23] M. Kirschmer, J. Voight, *Algorithmic enumeration of ideal classes for quaternion orders*, SIAM J. Comp. **39** (2010), no. 10, 1714– 1747.

[24] J. Liouville, *Sur la forme* $x^2+y^2+3(z^2+t^2)$, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées **2** (1860), no. 5, 147– 152.

[25] R. Morrison, *Algorithms for Mumford curves*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **68** (2015), 259– 284.

[26] D. Mumford, *An analytic construction of degenerating curves over complete local rings*, Compositio Math. **24** (1972), 129– 174.

[27] J. Nualart, *On the hyperbolic uniformization of Shimura curves with an Atkin-Lehener quotient of genus* 0, PhD Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona (2015).

[28] A. S. Rapinchuk, *Strong approximation for algebraic groups*, Thin groups and superstrong approximation vol. 61 (2013), MSRI Publications, 269– 298.

[29] A. Selberg, *On discontinous groups in higher dimensional symmetric spaces*, Contribution to function theory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (1960), 147– 164.

[30] J. P. Serre, *Cours d'arithmétique*, Collection Sup (1970), Presses Universitaires de France.

[31] J. P. Serre, *Arbres, amalgames,* SL_2, Cours au Collège de France, rédigé avec la collaboration de Hyman Bass, Astérisque vol. 46 (1977), Société Mathèmatique de France.

[32] G. Shimura, *Construction of class fields and Zeta functions of algebraic curves*, Annals of Mathematics **85**(1967), no. 1, 58– 159.

[33] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan vol. 11 (1970), Princeton University Press.

[34] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, The cohomology of p-adic symmetric domains, Inv. Math. **105** (1991), no. 1 47– 122.

[35] M. van der Put, *Discrete groups, Mumford curves and Theta functions*, Ann. de la Fac. des Science de Toulouse **1** (1992), no. 3 399– 438.

[36] M. F. Vignéras, *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions* Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 800 (1980), Springer.

[37] J. Voight, *Computing CM points on Shimura curves arising from cocompact arithmetic triangle groups*, Chapter in Algorithmic Number Theory. Lecture Notes in Computer Science vol. 4076 (2006), 406 – 420.

[38] J. Voight, J. Willis, *Computing power series expansions of modular forms*, Chapter in Computations with modular forms vol. 6 (2011), 331– 361.

L. Amorós, Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication. 6 rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg

E-mail address laia.amoros@uni.lu

P. Milione , Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona. Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, Barcelona 08007

E-mail address piermarcomilione@gmail.com